# Removal of Chromium from Real Tannery Effluent by Using Bioadsorbents

<sup>1</sup>A.Merly Xavier, <sup>2</sup>A.Logeswari, <sup>3</sup>S.Mano,

<sup>1</sup>Dr.M.Thirumarimurugan, Associate professor, <sup>2</sup>Dr.T.Kannadasan, Head of the Department, <sup>3</sup>Department of chemical engineering, Coimbatore Institute of Technology, Coimbatore-14

**Abstract:** Several low cost biomaterials such as rice husk, saw dust and eucalyptus bark (EB) were tested for removal of chromium. All the experiments were carried out in batch process with real effluents collected from several industries. The adsorbent, which had highest chromium (VI) removal was EB. Influences of chromium concentration, contact time on removal of chromium from effluent was investigated. The adsorption data were fitted well by Langmuir isotherm. The results indicated that eucalyptus bark can be used for the removal of chromium.

Keywords: tannery effluent, eucalyptus bark, adsorption:

# I. INTRODUCTION

Water pollution by chromium is of considerable concern, as this metal has found widespread use in electroplating, leather tanning, metal finishing, nuclear powerplant, textile industries, and chromate preparation.Chromium exists in two oxidation states as Cr(III) andCr(VI). The hexavalent form is 500 times more toxicthan the trivalent (Kowalski, 1994). It is toxic to microorganismplants, animals and humans. Human toxicityincludes lung cancer, as well as kidney, liver, and gastricdamage (US Department of Health and Human Services, 1991; Cieslak-Golonka, 1995). The tanning processis one of the largest polluters of chromium allover the world. Most of the tanneries in India adopt the chromium tanning process because of its processingspeed, low costs, and light color of leather and greater stability of the resulting leather. In the chromium tanningprocess, the leather takes up only 60-80% of appliedchromium, and the rest is usually discharged into thesewage system causing serious environmental impact.Chromium ion in liquid tanning wastes occurs mainlyin trivalent form, which gets further oxidized to hexavalentCr(VI) form, due to the presence of organics. The maximum levels permitted in wastewater are5 mg/L for trivalent chromium and 0.05 mg/L for hexavalentchromium (Acar and Malkoc, 2004). With this limit, it is essential for industries to treat their effluents or reduce the Cr to acceptable levels. Due to more stringentenvironmental regulations, most of the mineralprocessing plants, metal-finishing industries are facingnowadays the difficult problem of disposal of wastewaterproduced in huge quantities, laden with Cr.Chromium metal ions are usually removed by precipitation(Patterson, 1977), although ion exchange (Tiravantiet al., 1997) and adsorption (Dahbi et al., 1999; Orhan and Buyukgangor, 1993) are also used for its removal. The hydroxides of heavy metals are usually insoluble, so lime is commonly used for precipitating them. The most important factor in precipitation ofheavy metal is the valence state of metal in water. Crwhose hexavalent form, chromate, is considerably more soluble than trivalent form, Cr(III). In this case, the chromate, in which Cr is present as Cr(VI)must be reduced usually with SO<sub>2</sub> available from sodiummetabisulphite at low pH for removal of chromium asCr(III) by precipitation process. Another aspect of precipitation process is the zeta potential of the initialheavy metal colloidal precipitate.

In many plants whereheavy metals are being removed, one of the principal problems in reaching the desired effluent limits is the colloidal state of precipitated materials.they have not been properly neutralized, coagulated and flocculated. A final aspect of heavy metals is the possible formation of complex ions, which is common when dealing with wastewaters containing ammonia, fluoride, or cyanideions along with heavy metals. Because of these important aspects in the precipitation of heavy metals, there is no way to predict the best solution of a specific problem without undergoing a series of bench tests to evaluate the alternative available (Kemmer, 1988). The present study is aimed at selection of a low cost biosorbent, which can adsorb chromium from the wastewater. Detailed batch studies with the selected adsorbent, eucalyptus bark has been carried out in the present investigation. The effect of  $p^{H}$  and temperature, contact time, adsorbent concentration were also investigated.

#### II. METHODS

#### 2.1. Materials

The adsorbents selected for the preliminary study wasEucalyptusbark (EB). These were grounded and washed withdeionized water. The adsorbents were dried at roomtemperature,  $(32 \pm 1 \ ^{0}C)$  till a constant weight of theadsorbent was achieved. A uniform particle size of theadsorbent was maintained between 120 and 500 µm.

### 2.2. Preparation of eucalyptus bark adsorbent

Eucalyptus bark of Eucalyptus globulus tree specieswas collected from the local area. The bark wasgrounded to small particles of size  $120 < d_p < 500 \mu$ m. It was washed with deionized water and then dried. Toavoid, the release of color by bark in to the aqueoussolution during adsorption, it was treated with formaldehyde(Randall et al., 1976). For this 5 mL of aqueousformaldehyde was added to 100 mL of 0.1 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>and then 10 g of grounded and washed bark was added to this solution. The final mixture was stirred and heated at 50 °C for 24–48 h till the mixture became thick slurry. The slurry (treated bark) was washed with deionizedwater until the pH of the filtrate was more than 4.5. Finally the bark was air-dried and sieved. Particles in the range of 120–500  $\mu$ m size were collected as the finaladsorbent.

#### **2.3.** Determination of chromium content

The chromium concentration in raw and treated effluentwas determined by UV spectrophotometer. The wavelength of operation was kept at 540 nm. For this purpose,  $K_2Cr_2O_7$  solutions of different

concentrations were prepared and their absorbance recordedby using a UV-spectrophotometer. A calibration plots for Cr(VI) were drawn between %absorbanceand time. Runs were made in triplicate. Cr(III)concentration was determined by measuring the difference between total chromium concentration and Cr(VI) concentration. Total Cr concentration was determined by oxidizing Cr(III) to Cr(VI) using KMnO<sub>4</sub> and then determining final Cr(VI) content in the sample.

#### 2.4. Experimental

Stock solution of various concentration of Cr(VI) was prepared by dissolving  $K_2Cr_2O_7$ , in deionised, double-distilled water. All the batch adsorption studies were carried out using 100 mL of solution of appropriate concentration as desired by dilution of the stock solution. Requisite quantity of adsorbent was added to 250 mL plastic reagent bottles containing 100 mL of synthetic effluent of Cr(VI). The bottles were placed in a shaker at  $32 \pm 1$  <sup>0</sup>C, for 24 h. The speed of shaker was kept at 100 rpm. After 24 h the bottles were removed and the content of the bottles was filtered through a filter paper. The filtrate was analyzed forp<sup>H</sup> and final chromium concentration using UV spectrophotometer. The removal of Cr(VI) was studied by using eucalyptus bark. For allthese runs the adsorbent dose was kept at 5 g/L of synthetic effluent of Cr(VI). Further studies which involved, varying initial Cr(VI) concentration ranging from 200 and 250 ppm. The pH was varied from 2 to 5 of with different initial concentrations. The temperature was varied from 30<sup>0</sup> C to 45<sup>0</sup> C of with different initial concentrations. The contact time in batch was varied from 50 s to 200 s. The studies were also carried with industrial effluento btained from leather industry. The characteristics of industrial effluent is as follows: Cr(VI) concentration 200 mg/L, Cr(III) concentration 50 mg/L, total dissolved solids 780 mg/L, Ca concentration 135 mg/L and Mg concentration 92 mg/L.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1. Effect of pH

Effect of solution pH on removal of Cr was studiedusing EB as sorbent. As the pH of the solution was increased from 2 to 5 the adsorption of Cr(VI) decreased.Increasing p<sup>H</sup> from 2 to 5, percent removalof Cr(VI)(200 ppm) decreased 92 to 52, whereas as the pH wasincreased from 2 to 5 the % removal of Cr(VI)(250 ppm) decreased significantlyfrom 81 to 48. It was observed that the maximumpercentage of removal of Cr(VI) was at p<sup>H</sup> 2. Almost100% of Cr(VI) removal was observed at this p<sup>H</sup> at200ppm Cr(VI) concentration. Dominant form of Cr(VI)at initial p<sup>H</sup> of 2 is HCrO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> (Namasivayam and Yamuna,1995). Increase in p<sup>H</sup> shifts concentration ofHCrO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> to other forms, CrO<sub>4</sub> and Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub><sup>2-</sup>. It can be concluded that the active form of Cr(VI) that can be adsorbed EB was HCrO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>. Further it was observed thatthere was an increase in pH during adsorption. Theincrease in p<sup>H</sup> with contact time explained by hydrolysisof the adsorbent in water, which will create positivelycharged sites. Upon adsorption of HCrO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, a netproduction of hydroxide ions will occur as shown below(Saliba et al., 2002).  $\equiv$  OH<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> + HCrO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>  $\leftrightarrow \equiv$  OH<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>(HCrO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>)

Every mole of HCrO<sub>4</sub> adsorbed results in the release of two moles of hydroxyl ions in the solution, which raises the solution  $p^{H}$  (Namasivayam and Yamuna, 1995). This change in  $p^{H}$  at lower initial  $p^{H}$  is very small since the solutions at lower  $p^{H}$  are well buffered by the acids used in this  $p^{H}$  range.



## **3.2. Effect of temperature:**

The effect of temperature on the adsorption process were identified as followsThe change in adsorption with respected to time and temperature were noted.this helped to study about the influence of temperature on adsorption



synthetic effluent concentration 200ppm temp 30°C							
	$P^{H} = 2$	$P^{H}=3$	$P^{H} = 4$	$P^{H} = 5$			
Time	% adsorption	% adsorption	% adsorption	% adsorption			
50	82	58	47	31			
100	85	68	52	40			
150	88	75	61	46			
200	92	78	67	52			
synthetic effluent concentration 200ppm,p <sup>H</sup> =2							
	temp 30 <sup>o</sup> C	temp 35 <sup>°</sup> C	temp 40 <sup>0</sup> C	temp 45 <sup>0</sup> C			
Time	% adsorption	% adsorption	% adsorption	%adsorption			
50	82	80	77	75			
100	85	82	79	77			
150	88	85	81	80			
200	92	87	84	82			
synthetic effluent concentration 250ppm,temp30 <sup>0</sup> C							
	$P^{H} = 2$	$P^{H}=3$	$P^{H} = 4$	P <sup>H</sup> =5			
Time	% adsorption	% adsorption	% adsorption	%adsorption			
50	58	51	43	31			
100	66	58	47	36			
150	78	64	51	40			
200	81	71	57	48			
synthetic effluent concentration 250 ppm, p <sup>H</sup> =2							
	temp 30 <sup>0</sup> C	temp 35 <sup>°</sup> C	temp 40 <sup>°</sup> C	temp 45 <sup>°</sup> C			
Time	% adsorption	% adsorption	% adsorption	%adsorption			
50	58	55	51	48			
100	66	59	54	52			
150	78	65	58	55			
200	81	75	67	59			
real effluent 1 concentration 200ppm,temp 30 <sup>o</sup> C							
	P <sup>H</sup> =2	P <sup>H</sup> =3	P <sup>H</sup> =4	P <sup>H</sup> =5			
Time	% adsorption	% adsorption	% adsorption	%adsorption			

50	24	20	18	16			
100	36	30	25	22			
150	47	38	32	29			
200	52	45	42	38			
real effluent 1 concentration 200 ppm, $p^{H}=2$							
	temp 30 <sup>o</sup> C	temp 35 <sup>0</sup> C	temp 40 <sup>°</sup> C	temp 45°C			
Time	% adsorption	% adsorption	% adsorption	% adsorption			
50	24	22	19	17			
100	36	35	28	25			
150	47	44	37	34			
200	52	49	45	40			
real effluent 1 concentration 250 ppm.temp30 <sup>0</sup> C							
	P <sup>H</sup> =2	$P^{H}=3$	P <sup>H</sup> =4	P <sup>H</sup> =5			
Time	% adsorption	% adsorption	% adsorption	% adsorption			
50	20	14	12	9			
100	28	24	18	16			
150	38	32	26	22			
200	44	38	34	28			
real effluent 1 concentration 250 nnm n <sup>H</sup> =2							
	temp 30 <sup>°</sup> C	temp 35 <sup>°</sup> C	temp $40^{\circ}$ C	temp 45 <sup>°</sup> C			
Time	% adsorption	% adsorption	% adsorption	% adsorption			
50	20	18	17	15			
100	28	25	21	19			
150	38	33	29	24			
200	44	40	36	32			
	real ef	fluent 2 concentration 200	ppm,temp 30 <sup>0</sup> C				
	$P^{H} = 2$	$P^{H}=3$	$P^{H} = 4$	P <sup>H</sup> =5			
Time	% adsorption	% adsorption	% adsorption	% adsorption			
50	25	21	19	17			
100	35	32	28	22			
150	47	41	37	32			
200	54	47	43	37			
real effluent 2 concentration 200 ppm,p <sup>H</sup> =2							
	temp 30 <sup>o</sup> C	temp 35 <sup>0</sup> C	temp 40 <sup>0</sup> C	temp 45 <sup>o</sup> C			
Time	% adsorption	% adsorption	% adsorption	% adsorption			
50	25	22	20	18			
100	35	31	27	23			
150	47	40	35	31			
200	54	49	45	42			
real effluent 2 concentration 250,temp 30°C							
	$P^{H} = 2$	$P^{H}=3$	$P^{H} = 4$	P <sup>H</sup> =5			
Time	% adsorption	% adsorption	% adsorption	%adsorption			
50	19	16	15	12			
100	24	20	18	14			
150	33	28	24	21			
200	46	39	30	27			
real effluent 2 concentration 250ppm,p <sup>H</sup> =2							
	temp 30 <sup>o</sup> C	temp 35 <sup>o</sup> C	temp 40 <sup>0</sup> C	temp 45°C			
Time	% adsorption	% adsorption	% adsorption	%adsorption			
50	19	17	16	14			
100	24	21	19	18			
150	33	31	28	25			
200	46	40	37	32			

#### **3.3. Effect of contact time**

Fig. shows the effect of contact time. Increasingcontact time from 0.25 h to 3 h increases % Cr removal.Maximum Cr removal was observed with in first 2 h.The kinetic data was fitted to the Lagergren equation (Singh and Pant, 2004).

Log( $x_e$ -x) = logx<sub>e</sub> - K<sub>ads</sub>t / 2.303 x = the amount of solute, Cr(VI), (mg/g of adsorbent)removed at time t,  $x_e$  = amount removed at equilibrium and K<sub>ads</sub> = the rate constant of adsorption (1/min). The effect of contact time was studied for removal of Cr from effluent containing 200ppm of Cr(VI) at 32 ± 1 <sup>o</sup>C,p<sup>H</sup> 2, p<sup>H</sup> 3, p<sup>H</sup> 4,p<sup>H</sup>5. Experiments were also carried out

industrial effluent containing Cr(VI) 200ppm and Cr(III) 250 ppm. For EB the contact time of 3 h was needed to establish equilibrium. The kinetic on different solution of Cr(VI) at different  $p^{H}$  with EB as adsorbentwas found to follow the first order rate.



## 3.4. Adsorption isotherm

Adsorption isotherms, which are the presentation of the amount of solute adsorbed per unit of adsorbent, as a function of equilibrium concentration in bulk solution at constant temperature, were studied. The equilibrium data obtained were fitted to Langmuir isotherms.

Linear form of Langmuir equation,  $1 / X = 1 / X_m + (1 / C_e) (1' / b. X_m)$ 

X = x/m, where 'x' is in mg the amount of solute adsorbed, 'm' is unit gram of adsorbent, C<sub>e</sub> is the equilibrium concentration of solute (mg/L); X<sub>m</sub> is the amount of solute adsorbed per unit weight of adsorbent required for monolayer coverage of the surface alsocalled monolayer capacity and b is a constant related to the heat of adsorption.

## **IV. CONCLUSION**

Removal of poisonous hexavalent form of chromium from solutions was possible using selected adsorbents. Eucalyptus bark (EB) was the most effective for which the removal reached 92% for Cr(VI) at concentration of 200ppm and at pH 2. Increase sin the dose of adsorbent, initial concentration of Cr(VI) and increase in contact time upto 2 h are favorable for all increase the adsorption of Cr(VI). The kinetic of the Cr(VI) adsorption on EB was found to follow first order mechanism. The Gibbs free energy was obtained for each system. It was found to be -1.884 kJ/mol for Cr(VI) and -3.872 kJ/mol for Cromium(III) for removal from industrial effluent. The adsorption data can be satisfactorily explained by langmuir isotherm. Higher sorption capacity of this sorbent indicates that eucalyptus bark can be used for the treatment of chromium effluent.

#### REFERENCES

- [1.] Acar, F.N., Malkoc, E., 2004. The removal of chromium(VI) fromaqueous solutions by Fagus orientalis L. Bioresource Technol. 94,13–15.
- [2.] APHA, 1992. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 18th ed. APHA, Washington, DC.
- [3.] Cieslak-Golonka, M., 1995. Toxic and mutagenic effects of chromium(VI). Polyhedron 15, 3667–3689.
- [4.] Dahbi, S., Azzi, M., de la Guardia, M., 1999. Removal of hexavalentchromium from s wastewaters by bone charcoal. Fresenius J. Anal.Chem. 363, 404–407.
- [5.] Dakiky, M., Khamis, M., Manassra, A., Mer\_eb, sM., 2002. Selectiveadsorption of chromium(VI) in industrial wastewater using lowcostabundantly available adsorbents. Adv. Environ. Res. 6, 533–540.
- [6.] Huang, C.P., Wu, M.H., 1977. The removal chromium(VI) fromdilute aqueous solution by activated carbon. Water Res. 11, 673– 679.
- [7.] Kemmer, N.F., 1988. Precipitation, 10.18–10.20, Nalco Water Handbook, Publisher McGraw Hill (Chapter 10).
- [8.] Kowalski, Z., 1994. Treatment of chromic tannery wastes. J. Hazard.Mater. 37, 137–144.
- [9.] Namasivayam, C., Yamuna, R.T., 1995. Adsorption of Chromium(VI)by a low cost adsorbent: biogas residual slurry. Chemosphere 30,561–578.
- [10.] Orhan, Y., Buyukgangor, H., 1993. The removal of heavy metals by using agricultural wastes. Water Sci. Technol. 28, 247–255.
- [11.] Patterson, J.W., 1977. Waste Water Treatment. Science Publishers, New York.

- [12.] Randall, J.M., Hautala, E., Waiss, A.C., 1976. For. Prod. J. 26, 46.Saliba, R., Gauthier, H., Petit-Ramel, M., 2002. Adsorpt. Sci. Technol.20 (2), 119–129.
- [13.] Sharma, D.C., Foster, C.F., 1994. A Preliminary examination into theadsorption of hexavalent chromium using low-cost adsorbents. Bioresource Technol. 47, 257–264.
- [14.] Singh, T.S., Pant, K.K., 2004. Equilibrium, kinetic and thermodynamicsstudies for adsorption of As(III) on activated alumina. Sep.Pur. Technol. 36, 139–147.
- [15.] Tan, W.T., Ooi, S.T., Lee, C.K., 1993. Removal of chromium(VI)from solution by coconut husk and palm pressed fibers. Environ.Technol. 14, 277–282.
- [16.] Tiravanti, G., Petrluzzelli, D., Passino, R., 1997. Pretreatment oftannery wastewaters by an ion exchange process for Cr(III)removal and recovery. Water Sci. Technol. 36, 197–207.
- [17.] US Department of Health and Human Services, 1991. ToxicologicalProfile for Chromium. Public Health Services Agency for Toxicsubstances and Diseases Registry, Washington, DC.
- [18.] Weber Jr., W.J., 1967. Sorption from solution by porous carbon. In:Faust, S.D., Hunter, J.V. (Eds.), Principles and Applications of
- [19.] Water Chemistry. John Wiley & Sons, New York (Chapter 5).