RESEARCH INVENTY: International Journal of Engineering and Science
ISSN: 2278-4721, Vol. 1, Issue 1 (August2012), PP 65-113
www.researchinventy.com

Event, Cause, and Quantum Memory Register-An Augmentation-Arrondissement Model

Dr K N Prasanna Kumar, Prof B S Kiranagi and Prof C S Bagewadi

Abstract—*We study a consolidated system of event; cause and n Qubit register which makes computation with n Qubits. Model extensively dilates upon systemic properties and analyses the systemic behaviour of the equations together with other concomitant properties. Inclusion of event and cause ,we feel enhances the "Quantum ness" of the system holistically and brings out a relevance in the Quantum Computation on par with the classical system, in so far as the analysis is concerned.**

I. Introduction

Event And Its Vindication:

There definitely is a sense of compunction, contrition, hesitation, regret, remorse, hesitation and reservation to the **acknowledgement of** the fact that there is a personal **relation t**o what **happens to** oneself. Louis de Broglie said that the events have already happened and it **shall disclose** to the people **based on** their level of consciousness. So there is destiny to start with! Say I am undergoing some seemingly insurmountable problem, which has hurt my sensibilities, susceptibilities and sentimentalities that I refuse to accept that that event was waiting for me to happen. In fact this is the statement of stoic philosophy which is referred to almost as bookish or abstract. Wound is there; it **had to happen** to me. So I was wounded. Stoics tell us that the wound **existed b**efore me; I was born **to embody** it. It is the question of consummation, consolidation, concretization, consubstantiation, that of this, that **creates an** "event" in us; thus you have **become a quasi cause for** this wound. For instance, my feeling to **become an** actor made me to behave with such perfectionism everywhere, that people's expectations rose and when I did not come up to them I **fell;** thus the 'wound' was waiting for me and "I' was waiting for the wound! One fellow professor used to say like you are searching for ides, ideas also searching for you. Thus the wound **possesses in itself** a nature which is "impersonal and preindividual" in character, beyond general and particular, the collective and the private. It is the question **of becoming** universalistic and holistic in your outlook. Unless this fate had not befallen you, the "**grand design**" would not have taken place in its entire entirety. It had to happen. And the concomitant ramifications and pernicious or positive **implications.** Everything is in order **because the** fate befell you. It is not as if the wound had to get something that is best from me or that I am a chosen by God to face the event. As said earlier 'the grand design" would have **been altered**. And **it cannot alter**. You got to play your part and go; there is just no other way. The legacy must go on. You shall be torch bearer and you shall hand over the torch to somebody. This is the name of the game in totalistic and holistic way.

When it comes to ethics, I would say it makes no sense if any obstreperous, obstreperous, ululations, serenading, tintanibullations are made for the event has happened to me. It means to say that you are unworthy of the fate that has befallen you. To feel that what happened to you was unwarranted and not autonomous, telling the world that you are aggressively iconoclastic, veritably resentful, and volitionally resentient, is choosing the cast of allegation aspersions and accusations at the Grand Design. What is immoral is to invoke the name of god, because some event has **happened to** you. Cursing him is immoral. Realize that it is all "grand design" and you are playing **a part.** Resignation, renunciation, revocation is only one form of resentience. Willing the event is primarily **to release** the eternal truth; in fact you cannot release an event despite the fact everyone tries all ways and means they pray god; they prostrate for others destitution, poverty, penuary, misery. But **releasing a**n event is something like an "action at a distance" which only super natural power can do.

Here we are face to face with volitional intuition and repetitive transmutation. Like a premeditated skirmisher, **one quarrel** with one self, with others, with god, and finally the accuser **leaves** this world in despair. Now look at this sentence which was quoted by I think Bousquet "if there is a **failure of** will", "I will **substitute a** longing for death" for that shall be apotheosis, a perpetual and progressive glorification of the will.

Event And Singularities In Quantum Systems:

What is an event? Or for that matter an ideal event? An event **is a** singularity or rather a set of singularities or set of singular points **characterizing a** mathematical curve, a physical state of affairs, a psychological person or a moral person. Singularities are turning points and points of inflection: they are bottle necks, foyers and centers; they are points of fusion; condensation and boiling; points of tears and joy; sickness and health; hope and anxiety; they are so to say "sensitive" points; such singularities should not be confused or confounded, aggravated or exacerbated with personality of a system expressing itself; or the individuality and idiosyncrasies of a system which is designated with a proposition. They should also **not be fused** with the generalizational concept or universalistic axiomatic predications and postulation alcovishness, or the dipsomaniac flageolet dirge of a concept. Possible a concept could be signified by a figurative representation or a schematic configuration. "Singularity is essentially, pre individual, and has no personalized bias in it, or for that matter a prejudice or pre circumspection of a conceptual scheme. It is in this sense **we can define a** "singularity" as being neither affirmative nor non affirmative. It can be positive or negative; it can **create or destroy**. On the other hand it must be noted that singularity is different both in its thematic discursive from the run of the mill day to day musings and mundane drooling. They are in that sense "extra-ordinary".

Each singularity is a **source and resource**, the origin, reason and raison d'être of a mathematical series, it could be any series any type, and that is interpolated or extrapolated to the structural location of the **destination of** another singularity. This according to this standpoint, there are different. It can be positive or negative; it can create or destroy. On the other hand it must be noted that singularity is different both in its thematic discursive from the run of the mill day to day musings and mundane drooling. There are in that sense "extra-ordinary".

This according to the widely held standpoint, there are different, multifarious, myriad, series IN A structure. In the eventuality of the fact that we conduct an unbiased and prudent examination of the series belonging to different "singularities" we can come to indubitable **conclusions t**hat the "singularity" of one system is different from the "other system" in the subterranean realm and ceratoid dualism of comparison and contrast EPR experiment derived that there exists a communications between two particles. We go a further step to say that there **exists a channel** of communication however slovenly, inept, clumpy, between the two singularities. It is also possible the communication exchange could be one of belligerence, cantankerousness, tempestuousness, astutely truculent, with ensorcelled frenzy. That does not matter. All we are telling is that singularities communicate with each other.

Now, how do find **the reaction** of systems to these singularities. You do the same thing a boss does for you. "Problematize" the events and see how you behave. I will resort to "pressure tactics". "intimidation of deriding report", or "cut in the increment" to make you undergo trials, travails and tribulations. I am happy to see if you improve your work; but may or may not be sad if you succumb to it and hang yourself! We do the same thing with systems. systems show conducive response, felicitous reciprocation or behave erratically with inner roil, eponymous radicalism without and with blitzy conviction say like a solipsist nature of bellicose and blustering particles, or for that matter coruscation, trepidiational **motion in** fluid flows, or seemingly perfidious incendiaries in gormandizing fellow elementary particles, abnormal ebullitions, surcharges calumniations and unwarranted(you think so but the system does not!) unrighteous fulminations.

So the point that is made here is "like we problematize the "events" to understand the human behaviour we have to "problematize" the events of systems to understand their behaviour.

This statement is made in connection to the fact that there shall be **creation or destruction** of particles or complete obliteration of the system (blackhole evaporation) or obfuscation of results. Some systems are like "inside traders" they will not put signature at all! How do you find they did it! Anyway, there are possibilities of a CIA finding out as they recently did! So we can do the same thing with systems to. This is accentuation, corroboration, fortification, .fomentatory notes to explain the various coefficients we have used in the model as also the dissipations called for

In the Bank example we have clarified that various systems are individually conservative, and their conservativeness extends holisticallytoo.that one law is universal does not mean there is complete adjudication of **nonexistence of** totality or global or holistic figure. Total always exists and "individual" systems always exist, if we do not bring Kant in to picture! For the time being let us not! Equations would become more eneuretic and frenzied...

Various, myriad, series in a structure. In the eventuality of the fact that we conduct an unbiased and prudent examination of the series belonging to different "singularities" we can come to indubitable conclusions that the "singularity" of one system is different from the "other system" in the subterranean realm and ceratoid dualism of comparison and contrast.

Conservation Laws:

Conservation laws bears ample testimony ,infallible observatory, and impeccable demonstration to the fact that the essential predications, character constitutions, ontological consonances remain unchanged with evolution despite the system's astute truculence, serenading whimsicality,assymetric disposition or on the other hand anachronistic dispensation ,eponymous radicality,entropic entrepotishness or the subdued ,relationally contributive, diverse parametrisizational,conducive reciprocity to environment, unconventional behaviour,eneuretic nonlinear frenetic ness ,ensorcelled frenzy, abnormal ebulliations,surcharged fulminations , or the inner roil. And that holds well with the evolution with time. We present a model of the generalizational conservation of the theories. A theory of all the conservation theories. That all conservation laws hold and there is no relationship between them is bête noir. We shall on this premise build a 36 storey model that deliberates on various issues, structural, dependent, thematic and discursive, Note THAT The classification is executed on systemic properties and parameters. And everything that is known to us measurable. We do not know"intangible".Nor we accept or acknowledge that.All laws of conservation must holds. Hence the holistic laws must hold. Towards that end, interrelationships must exist. All science like law wants evidence and here we shall provide one under the premise that for all conservations laws to hold each must be interrelated to the other, lest the very conception is a fricative contretemps. And we live in "Measurement" world.

Quantum Register:

Devices that **harness and explore** the fundamental axiomatic predications of Physics has wide ranging amplitidunial **ramification** with its essence of locus and focus on information processing that outperforms their classical counterparts, and for unconditionally secure communication. However, in particular, implementations **based on** condensed-matter systems face the challenge of short coherence times. Carbon materials, particularly diamond, however, are suitable **for hosting** robust solid-state quantum registers**, owing to** their spin-free lattice and weak spin–orbit **coupling**. Studies with the structurally notched criticism and schizoid fragments of manifestations of historical perspective of diamond hosting quantum register have borne ample testimony and, and at differential and determinate levels have articulated the generalized significations and manifestations of quantum logic elements can **be realized** by exploring long-range magnetic dipolar coupling between individually addressable single electron spins **associated with** separate colour centres in diamond. The strong distance dependence of this coupling was used to characterize the separation of single qubits (98±3 Å) with accuracy close to the value of the crystal-lattice spacing. Coherent **control over** electron spins, **conditional** dynamics, selective readout as well as switchable **interaction** should rip open glittering façade for a prosperous and scintillating irreducible affirmation of open the way towards a viable room-temperature solid-state quantum register. As both electron spins are optically **addressable,** this solid-state quantum device **operating at** ambient conditions **provides a** degree of **control** that is at present available only for a few systems at low temperature (See for instance P. Neumann, R. Kolesov, B. Naydenov, J. Bec F. Rempp, M. Steiner' V. Jacques,, G. Balasubramanian,M, M. L. Markham,, D. J. Twitchen,, S. Pezzagna,, J. Meijer, J. Twamley, F. Jelezko & J. Wrachtrup)*

CAUSE AND EVENT:
MODULE NUMBERED ONE∗
NOTATION :

G_{13} : CATEGORY ONE OF CAUSE
G_{14} : CATEGORY TWO OF CAUSE
G_{15} : CATEGORY THREE OF CAUSE
T_{13} : CATEGORY ONE OF EVENT
T_{14} : CATEGORY TWO OF EVENT
T_{15} :CATEGORY THREE OFEVENT

FIRST TWO CATEGORIES OF QUBITS COMPUTATION:
MODULE NUMBERED TWO:
==

G_{16} : CATEGORY ONE OF FIRST SET OF QUBITS
G_{17} : CATEGORY TWO OF FIRST SET OF QUBITS
G_{18} : CATEGORY THREE OF FIRST SET OF QUBITS
T_{16} :CATEGORY ONE OF SECOND SET OF QUBITS
T_{17} : CATEGORY TWO OF SECOND SET OF QUBITS
T_{18} : CATEGORY THREE OF SECOND SET OF QUBITS

THIRD SET OF QUBITS AND FOURTH SET OF QUBITS:
MODULE NUMBERED THREE:
==

G_{20} : CATEGORY ONE OF THIRD SET OF QUBITS
G_{21} :CATEGORY TWO OF THIRD SET OF QUBITS
G_{22} : CATEGORY THREE OF THIRD SET OF QUBITS
T_{20} : CATEGORY ONE OF FOURTH SET OF QUBITS
T_{21} :CATEGORY TWO OF FOURTH SET OF QUBITS
T_{22} : CATEGORY THREE OF FOURTH SET OF QUBITS

FIFTH SET OF QUBITS AND SIXTH SET OF QUBITS
: MODULE NUMBERED FOUR:
==

G_{24} : CATEGORY ONE OF FIFTH SET OF QUBITS
G_{25} : CATEGORY TWO OF FIFTH SET OF QUBITS
G_{26} : CATEGORY THREE OF FIFTH SET OF QUBITS
T_{24} :CATEGORY ONE OF SIXTH SET OF QUBITS
T_{25} :CATEGORY TWO OF SIXTH SET OF QUBITS
T_{26} : CATEGORY THREE OF SIXTH SET OF QUBITS

SEVENTH SET OF QUBITS AND EIGHTH SET OF QUBITS:
MODULE NUMBERED FIVE:

==

G_{28} : CATEGORY ONE OF SEVENTH SET OF QUBITS
G_{29} : CATEGORY TWO OFSEVENTH SET OF QUBITS
G_{30} :CATEGORY THREE OF SEVENTH SET OF QUBITS
T_{28} :CATEGORY ONE OF EIGHTH SET OF QUBITS
T_{29} :CATEGORY TWO OF EIGHTH SET OF QUBITS
T_{30} :CATEGORY THREE OF EIGHTH SET OF QUBITS

(n-1)TH SET OF QUBITS AND nTH SET OF QUBITS :
MODULE NUMBERED SIX:

==

G_{32} : CATEGORY ONE OF(n-1)TH SET OF QUBITS
G_{33} : CATEGORY TWO OF(n-1)TH SET OF QUBITS
G_{34} : CATEGORY THREE OF (N-1)TH SET OF QUBITS
T_{32} : CATEGORY ONE OF n TH SET OF QUBITS
T_{33} : CATEGORY TWO OF n TH SET OF QUBITS
T_{34} : CATEGORY THREE OF n TH SET OF QUBITS

==

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, \quad (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)} \quad (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)} \quad (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}, \quad (b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
are Accentuation coefficients

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, \quad (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, \quad (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}, \quad (b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)} \quad (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
are Dissipation coefficients*

CAUSE AND EVENT:
MODULE NUMBERED ONE

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)*1

$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right] G_{13}$ *2
$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right] G_{14}$ *3
$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right] G_{15}$ *4
$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right] T_{13}$ *5
$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right] T_{14}$ *6
$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right] T_{15}$ *7
$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor *8
$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor*

FIRST TWO CATEGORIES OF QUBITS COMPUTATION:
MODULE NUMBERED TWO:

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)*9

$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right] G_{16}$ *10
$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right] G_{17}$ *11
$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right] G_{18}$ *12

$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17} - \left[(b_{16}^{'})^{(2)} - (b_{16}^{''})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right] T_{16}$ *13

$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16} - \left[(b_{17}^{'})^{(2)} - (b_{17}^{''})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right] T_{17}$ *14

$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17} - \left[(b_{18}^{'})^{(2)} - (b_{18}^{''})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right] T_{18}$ *15

$+(a_{16}^{''})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor *16

$-(b_{16}^{''})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor *17

<u>THIRD SET OF QUBITS AND FOURTH SET OF QUBITS:</u>
<u>MODULE NUMBERED THREE</u>

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)*18

$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21} - \left[(a_{20}^{'})^{(3)} + (a_{20}^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right] G_{20}$ *19

$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20} - \left[(a_{21}^{'})^{(3)} + (a_{21}^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right] G_{21}$ *20

$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21} - \left[(a_{22}^{'})^{(3)} + (a_{22}^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right] G_{22}$ *21

$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21} - \left[(b_{20}^{'})^{(3)} - (b_{20}^{''})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right] T_{20}$ *22

$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20} - \left[(b_{21}^{'})^{(3)} - (b_{21}^{''})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right] T_{21}$ *23

$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21} - \left[(b_{22}^{'})^{(3)} - (b_{22}^{''})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right] T_{22}$ *24

$+(a_{20}^{''})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor*

$-(b_{20}^{''})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor *25

<u>FIFTH SET OF QUBITS AND SIXTH SET OF QUBITS</u>
<u>: MODULE NUMBERED FOUR</u>

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)*26

$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25} - \left[(a_{24}^{'})^{(4)} + (a_{24}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right] G_{24}$ *27

$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24} - \left[\left(a_{25}^{'}\right)^{(4)} + \left(a_{25}^{''}\right)^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right] G_{25}$ *28

$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25} - \left[(a_{26}^{'})^{(4)} + (a_{26}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right] G_{26}$ *29

$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25} - \left[(b_{24}^{'})^{(4)} - (b_{24}^{''})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right] T_{24}$ *30

$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24} - \left[\left(b_{25}^{'}\right)^{(4)} - \left(b_{25}^{''}\right)^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right] T_{25}$ *31

$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25} - \left[(b_{26}^{'})^{(4)} - (b_{26}^{''})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right] T_{26}$ *32

$+(a_{24}^{''})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor***33

$-(b_{24}^{''})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor** *34

<u>SEVENTH SET OF QUBITS AND EIGHTH SET OF QUBITS:</u>
<u>MODULE NUMBERED FIVE</u>

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)*35

$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29} - \left[(a_{28}^{'})^{(5)} + (a_{28}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right] G_{28}$ *36

$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28} - \left[(a_{29}^{'})^{(5)} + (a_{29}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right] G_{29}$ *37

$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29} - \left[(a_{30}^{'})^{(5)} + (a_{30}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right] G_{30}$ *38

$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29} - \left[(b_{28}^{'})^{(5)} - (b_{28}^{''})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right] T_{28}$ *39

$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28} - \left[(b_{29}^{'})^{(5)} - (b_{29}^{''})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right] T_{29}$ *40

$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29} - \left[(b_{30}^{'})^{(5)} - (b_{30}^{''})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right] T_{30}$ *41

$+(a_{28}^{''})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor** *42

$-(b_{28}^{''})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor** *43

<u>n-1)TH SET OF QUBITS AND nTH SET OF QUBITS :</u>
<u>MODULE NUMBERED SIX</u>:

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)*44
45

$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33} - \left[(a_{32}^{'})^{(6)} + (a_{32}^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right] G_{32}$ *46

$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32} - \left[(a_{33}^{'})^{(6)} + (a_{33}^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right] G_{33}$ *47

$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33} - \left[(a_{34}^{'})^{(6)} + (a_{34}^{''})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right] G_{34}$ *48

$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33} - \left[(b_{32}^{'})^{(6)} - (b_{32}^{''})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right] T_{32}$ *49

$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right) \right] T_{33}$ *50

$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right) \right] T_{34}$ *51

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor***52

$-(b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor** *53

<u>HOLISTIC CONCATENATE SYTEMAL EQUATIONS HENCEFORTH REFERRED TO AS “GLOBAL EQUATIONS</u>”

(1) EVENT AND CAUSE
(2) FIRST SET OF QUBITS AND SECOND SET OF QUBITS
(3) THIRD SET OF QUBITS AND FOURTH SET OF QUBITS
(4) FIFTH SET OF QUBITS AND SIXTH SET OF QUBITS
(5) SEVENTH SET OF QUBITS AND EIGHTH SET OF QUBITS
(6) (n-1)TH SET OF QUBITS AND nTH QUBIT

*54

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)} \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17}, t)} \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21}, t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25}, t)} \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29}, t)} \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33}, t)} \end{matrix} \right] G_{13} \quad *55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)} \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17}, t)} \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21}, t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25}, t)} \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29}, t)} \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33}, t)} \end{matrix} \right] G_{14} \quad *56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)} \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17}, t)} \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21}, t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25}, t)} \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29}, t)} \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33}, t)} \end{matrix} \right] G_{15} \quad *57$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17}, t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17}, t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17}, t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21}, t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21}, t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21}, t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25}, t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25}, t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25}, t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29}, t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29}, t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29}, t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33}, t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33}, t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33}, t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3**58*

59

60

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)} \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)} \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19}, t)} \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23}, t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27}, t)} \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31}, t)} \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35}, t)} \end{matrix} \right] T_{13} \quad *61$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)} \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)} \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19}, t)} \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23}, t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27}, t)} \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31}, t)} \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35}, t)} \end{matrix} \right] T_{14} \quad *62$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)} \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)} \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19}, t)} \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23}, t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27}, t)} \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31}, t)} \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35}, t)} \end{matrix} \right] T_{15} \quad *63$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3 **64*

**65*

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)} \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \end{matrix}\right] G_{16} \quad *66$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)} \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \end{matrix}\right] G_{17} \quad *67$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)} \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \end{matrix}\right] G_{18} \quad *68$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3 **69*

70

**71*

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)} \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \end{matrix}\right] T_{16} \quad *72$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)} \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \end{matrix}\right] T_{17} \quad *73$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)} \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \end{matrix}\right] T_{18} \quad *74$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-\left(b''_{15}\right)^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-\left(b''_{25}\right)^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

**75*

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{matrix}(a'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\end{matrix}\right]G_{20} \quad *76$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[\begin{matrix}(a'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}\\ \boxed{+\left(a''_{25}\right)^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\end{matrix}\right]G_{21} \quad *77$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{matrix}(a'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+\left(a''_{15}\right)^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\end{matrix}\right]G_{22} \quad *78$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+\left(a''_{15}\right)^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+\left(a''_{25}\right)^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3 **79*
80
**81*

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[\begin{matrix}(b'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}\end{matrix}\right]T_{20} \quad *82$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[\begin{matrix}(b'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}\\ \boxed{-\left(b''_{25}\right)^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}\end{matrix}\right]T_{21} \quad *83$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)} \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \end{matrix}\right] T_{22} \quad *84$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3 *85*

**86*

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)} \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \end{matrix}\right] G_{24} \quad *87$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)} \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \end{matrix}\right] G_{25} \quad *88$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)} \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \end{matrix}\right] G_{26} \quad *89$$

$Where\ \boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}\ are\ first\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\ are\ second\ augmentation\ coefficient\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}\ are\ third\ augmentation\ coefficient\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2,and 3 **90*

91

**92*

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)} \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \end{matrix}\right] T_{24} \quad *93$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)} \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \end{matrix}\right] T_{25} \quad *94$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)} \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \end{matrix} \right] T_{26} \quad *95$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, *are fourth detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, *are fifth detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
*96

*97
*98

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{28})^{(5)} \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \end{matrix} \right] G_{28} \quad *99$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)} \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \end{matrix} \right] G_{29} \quad *100$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)} \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \end{matrix} \right] G_{30} \quad *101$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

And $\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3 *102
*103

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)} \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \end{matrix} \right] T_{28} \quad *104$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \end{matrix} \right] T_{29} \quad *105$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[\begin{matrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)} \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \end{matrix} \right] T_{30} \quad *106$$

$where\ \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$
$are\ first\ detrition\ coefficients\ \ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)},\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)},\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$

$are\ second\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)},\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)},\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$
$are\ third\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)},\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)},\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$

are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)},\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)},\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$

are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)},\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)},\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$

are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3*107*

108

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt}=(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}-\left[\begin{matrix}(a'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\end{matrix}\right]G_{32}\quad *109$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt}=(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32}-\left[\begin{matrix}(a'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\end{matrix}\right]G_{33}\quad *110$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt}=(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}-\left[\begin{matrix}(a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\end{matrix}\right]G_{34}\quad *111$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)},\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)},\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}\ are\ first\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)},\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)},\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\ are\ second\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)},\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)},\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ are\ third\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)},\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)},\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)},\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)},\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)},\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)},\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

112

113

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt}=(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}-\left[\begin{matrix}(b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\end{matrix}\right]T_{32}\quad *114$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt}=(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32}-\left[\begin{matrix}(b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\end{matrix}\right]T_{33}\quad *115$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt}=(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}-\left[\begin{matrix}(b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\end{matrix}\right]T_{34}\quad *116$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)},\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)},\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\ are\ first\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)},\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)},\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\ are\ second\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$
are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
**117*

Where we suppose**119*

(A) $(a_i)^{(1)},(a'_i)^{(1)},(a''_i)^{(1)},(b_i)^{(1)},(b'_i)^{(1)},(b''_i)^{(1)} > 0$,
$i,j = 13,14,15$

(B) The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)},(b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}$:

$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$

$(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) \le \ (r_i)^{(1)} \le (b'_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$**120*

121

(C) $lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)}$

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) = \ (r_i)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)},(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)},(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)},(p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}$ are positive constants and $i = 13,14,15$ *122

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a''_i)^{(1)}(T'_{14},t) - (a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T'_{14}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$

$|(b''_i)^{(1)}(G',t) - (b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ *123

124

125

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T'_{14},t)$ and $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T'_{14},t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)},(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient WOULD be absolutely continuous. *126

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)},(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$:

(D) $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)},(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$*127

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)},(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$:

(E) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)},(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)},(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)},(a'_i)^{(1)},(b_i)^{(1)},(b'_i)^{(1)},(p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15$,
satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a'_i)^{(1)} + \ (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + \ (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\ (b_i)^{(1)} + (b'_i)^{(1)} + \ (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \ (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$ *128

129

130

131

132

Where we suppose*134

$(a_i)^{(2)},(a'_i)^{(2)},(a''_i)^{(2)},(b_i)^{(2)},(b'_i)^{(2)},(b''_i)^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$*135

The functions $(a''_i)^{(2)},(b''_i)^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.*136

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}$:*137

$(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17},t) \le (p_i)^{(2)} \le (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}$ *138

$(b''_i)^{(2)}(G_{19},t) \le \ (r_i)^{(2)} \le (b'_i)^{(2)} \le (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ *139

$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(2)}\,(T_{17},t)=(p_i)^{(2)}$*140
$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right)=\ (r_i)^{(2)}$ *141

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)},(\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)},(\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)},(p_i)^{(2)},\ (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i=16,17,18}$ *142

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:*143

$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t)-(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)|\le(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17}-T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ *144

$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})',t)-(b_i'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right)|<(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19})-(G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ *145

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$. (T_{17}',t) And (T_{17},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)},(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, the SECOND augmentation coefficient would be absolutely continuous. *146

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)},(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:*147

(F) $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)},(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}<1$*148

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)},(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)},(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)},(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and\ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)},(a_i')^{(2)},(b_i)^{(2)},(b_i')^{(2)},(p_i)^{(2)},\ (r_i)^{(2)},i=16,17,18,$ satisfy the inequalities *149

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\,(a_i)^{(2)}+(a_i')^{(2)}+\ (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}+\ (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\,(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}]<1$ *150

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\ (b_i)^{(2)}+(b_i')^{(2)}+\ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}+\ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\ (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}]<1$ *151

Where we suppose*152

(G) $(a_i)^{(3)},(a_i')^{(3)},(a_i'')^{(3)},(b_i)^{(3)},(b_i')^{(3)},(b_i'')^{(3)}>0,\quad i,j=20,21,22$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)},(b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)},\ (r_i)^{(3)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\le(p_i)^{(3)}\le(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$
$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\le\ (r_i)^{(3)}\le(b_i')^{(3)}\le(\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$*153

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}\,(T_{21},t)=(p_i)^{(3)}$
$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}\,(G_{23},t)=\ (r_i)^{(3)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)},(\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)},(\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)},(p_i)^{(3)},\ (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i=20,21,22}$ *154

155
156

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)|\le(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21}-T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}',t)-(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)|<(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23}-G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *157

158
159

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$. (T_{21}',t) And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)},(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, the THIRD augmentation coefficient, would be absolutely continuous. *160

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)},(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$:

(H) $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)},(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}<1$*161

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)},(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)},(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(3)},(a_i')^{(3)},(b_i)^{(3)},(b_i')^{(3)},(p_i)^{(3)},\ (r_i)^{(3)},i=20,21,22,$ satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\,(a_i)^{(3)}+(a_i')^{(3)}+\ (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+\ (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\,(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}]<1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (b_i)^{(3)}+(b_i')^{(3)}+\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}+\ (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}]<1$ *162

163

164
165
166
167
Where we suppose*168
(I) $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26$

(J) The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}$:
$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(4)} \leq (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$
$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(4)} \leq (b_i')^{(4)} \leq (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$*169

(K) $lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(4)} (T_{25}, t) = (p_i)^{(4)}$
$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(4)} \big((G_{27}), t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$
Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:
Where $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}$ are positive constants and $i = 24,25,26$ *1
**118*
70
They satisfy Lipschitz condition:
$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)} |T_{25} - T_{25}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$
$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)} ||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ *171
With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) And (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 4$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the FOURTH **augmentation coefficient WOULD** be absolutely continuous. *172

173
Defi174nition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$:
(L) $(\hat{M}_{24})176^{175(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)},$ are positive constants
(M)
$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$ *174
Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$:
(N) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26,$
satisfy the inequalities
$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} [\, (a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$
$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} [\ (b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \ \ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$ *175
Where we suppose*****176
(O) $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i,j = 28,29,30$
(P) The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.
Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}$:
$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$
$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$*177

(Q) $lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(5)} (T_{29}, t) = (p_i)^{(5)}$
$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(5)} (G_{31}, t) = \ (r_i)^{(5)}$
Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:
Where $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}$ are positive constants and $i = 28,29,30$ *178
They satisfy Lipschitz condition:
$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)} |T_{29} - T_{29}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$
$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})', t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)} ||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ *179

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$. (T_{29}',t) and (T_{29},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)},(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}=5$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, theFIFTH **augmentation coefficient** attributable would be absolutely continuous. *180

<u>Definition of</u> $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)},(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$:

(R) $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)},(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}<1$*181

<u>Definition of</u> $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)},(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$:

(S) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)},(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)},(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and\ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)},(a_i')^{(5)},(b_i)^{(5)},(b_i')^{(5)},(p_i)^{(5)},\ (r_i)^{(5)},i=28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\,(a_i)^{(5)}+(a_i')^{(5)}+\ (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}+\ (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\,(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}]<1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (b_i)^{(5)}+(b_i')^{(5)}+\ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}+\ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}]<1$$ *182

Where we suppose*183

$(a_i)^{(6)},(a_i')^{(6)},(a_i'')^{(6)},(b_i)^{(6)},(b_i')^{(6)},(b_i'')^{(6)}>0,\quad i,j=32,33,34$

(T) The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)},(b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

<u>Definition of</u> $(p_i)^{(6)},\ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\leq(p_i)^{(6)}\leq(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$

$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\leq\ (r_i)^{(6)}\leq(b_i')^{(6)}\leq(\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$*184

(U) $lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}\,(T_{33},t)=(p_i)^{(6)}$

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right)=\ (r_i)^{(6)}$

<u>Definition of</u> $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)},(\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)},(\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)},(p_i)^{(6)},\ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i=32,33,34}$*185

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t)-(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)|\leq(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33}-T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})',t)-(b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right)|<(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35})-(G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ *186

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$. (T_{33}',t) and (T_{33},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)},(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}=6$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, the SIXTH **augmentation coefficient** would be absolutely continuous. *187

<u>Definition of</u> $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)},(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)},(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}<1$*188

<u>Definition of</u> $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)},(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)},(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)},(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and\ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)},(a_i')^{(6)},(b_i)^{(6)},(b_i')^{(6)},(p_i)^{(6)},\ (r_i)^{(6)},i=32,33,34,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\,(a_i)^{(6)}+(a_i')^{(6)}+\ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}+\ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\,(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}]<1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)}+(b_i')^{(6)}+\ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}+\ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}]<1$$ *189

*190

<u>Theorem 1:</u> if the conditions IN THE FOREGOING above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions

<u>Definition of</u> $G_i(0)\,,T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t)\leq\left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)}e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0)=G_i^0>0}$

$T_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0)=T_i^0>0}$*191

<u>*</u>192

Definition of $G_i(0)\,,T_i(0)$

$G_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$, $G_i(0)=G_i^0>0$

$T_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$, $T_i(0)=T_i^0>0$*193

*194

$G_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$, $G_i(0)=G_i^0>0$

$T_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$, $T_i(0)=T_i^0>0$*195

Definition of $G_i(0)\,,T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t)\leq\ \left(\hat{P}_{24}\right)^{(4)}e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0)=G_i^0>0}$

$T_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0)=T_i^0>0}$ *196

Definition of $G_i(0)\,,T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t)\leq\ \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0)=G_i^0>0}$

$T_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0)=T_i^0>0}$*197

198

Definition of $G_i(0)\,,T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t)\leq\ \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0)=G_i^0>0}$

$T_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0)=T_i^0>0}$*199

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions $G_i\,,\,T_i:\mathbb{R}_+\to\mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy *200

$G_i(0)=G_i^0\,,\,T_i(0)=T_i^0\,,\,G_i^0\leq(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\,,T_i^0\leq(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$, *201

$0\leq G_i(t)-G_i^0\leq(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ *202

$0\leq T_i(t)-T_i^0\leq(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ *203

By

$\bar{G}_{13}(t)=G_{13}^0+\int_0^t\left[(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right)-\left((a'_{13})^{(1)}+a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right),s_{(13)}\right)\right)G_{13}\left(s_{(13)}\right)\right]ds_{(13)}$ *204

$\bar{G}_{14}(t)=G_{14}^0+\int_0^t\left[(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13}\left(s_{(13)}\right)-\left((a'_{14})^{(1)}+(a''_{14})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right),s_{(13)}\right)\right)G_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right)\right]ds_{(13)}$ *205

$\bar{G}_{15}(t)=G_{15}^0+\int_0^t\left[(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right)-\left((a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right),s_{(13)}\right)\right)G_{15}\left(s_{(13)}\right)\right]ds_{(13)}$ *206

$\bar{T}_{13}(t)=T_{13}^0+\int_0^t\left[(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right)-\left((b'_{13})^{(1)}-(b''_{13})^{(1)}\left(G\left(s_{(13)}\right),s_{(13)}\right)\right)T_{13}\left(s_{(13)}\right)\right]ds_{(13)}$ *207

$\bar{T}_{14}(t)=T_{14}^0+\int_0^t\left[(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13}\left(s_{(13)}\right)-\left((b'_{14})^{(1)}-(b''_{14})^{(1)}\left(G\left(s_{(13)}\right),s_{(13)}\right)\right)T_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right)\right]ds_{(13)}$ *208

$\bar{T}_{15}(t)=T_{15}^0+\int_0^t\left[(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14}\left(s_{(13)}\right)-\left((b'_{15})^{(1)}-(b''_{15})^{(1)}\left(G\left(s_{(13)}\right),s_{(13)}\right)\right)T_{15}\left(s_{(13)}\right)\right]ds_{(13)}$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0,t)$*209

*210

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions $G_i\,,\,T_i:\mathbb{R}_+\to\mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy *211

$G_i(0)=G_i^0\,,\,T_i(0)=T_i^0\,,\,G_i^0\leq(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\,,T_i^0\leq(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$, *212

$0\leq G_i(t)-G_i^0\leq(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ *213

$0\leq T_i(t)-T_i^0\leq(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ *214

By

$\bar{G}_{16}(t)=G_{16}^0+\int_0^t\left[(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}\left(s_{(16)}\right)-\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}\left(s_{(16)}\right),s_{(16)}\right)\right)G_{16}\left(s_{(16)}\right)\right]ds_{(16)}$ *215

$\bar{G}_{17}(t)=G_{17}^0+\int_0^t\left[(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16}\left(s_{(16)}\right)-\left((a'_{17})^{(2)}+(a''_{17})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}\left(s_{(16)}\right),s_{(17)}\right)\right)G_{17}\left(s_{(16)}\right)\right]ds_{(16)}$ *216

$\bar{G}_{18}(t)=G_{18}^0+\int_0^t\left[(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}\left(s_{(16)}\right)-\left((a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}\left(s_{(16)}\right),s_{(16)}\right)\right)G_{18}\left(s_{(16)}\right)\right]ds_{(16)}$ *217

$\bar{T}_{16}(t)=T_{16}^0+\int_0^t\left[(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17}\left(s_{(16)}\right)-\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left(G\left(s_{(16)}\right),s_{(16)}\right)\right)T_{16}\left(s_{(16)}\right)\right]ds_{(16)}$ *218

$\bar{T}_{17}(t)=T_{17}^0+\int_0^t\left[(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16}\left(s_{(16)}\right)-\left((b'_{17})^{(2)}-(b''_{17})^{(2)}\left(G\left(s_{(16)}\right),s_{(16)}\right)\right)T_{17}\left(s_{(16)}\right)\right]ds_{(16)}$ *219

$\bar{T}_{18}(t)=T_{18}^0+\int_0^t\left[(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17}\left(s_{(16)}\right)-\left((b'_{18})^{(2)}-(b''_{18})^{(2)}\left(G\left(s_{(16)}\right),s_{(16)}\right)\right)T_{18}\left(s_{(16)}\right)\right]ds_{(16)}$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0,t)$*220

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions $G_i, T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy *221

$G_i(0) = G_i^0, T_i(0) = T_i^0, G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}, T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$, *222

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ *223

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ *224

By

$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$ *225

$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$ *226

$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$ *227

$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$ *228

$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$ *229

$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$*230

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions $G_i, T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy *231

$G_i(0) = G_i^0, T_i(0) = T_i^0, G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$, *232

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ *233

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ *234

By

$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$ *235

$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$ *236

$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$ *237

$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$
*238

$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$ *239

$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$*240

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions $G_i, T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy *241

242

$G_i(0) = G_i^0, T_i(0) = T_i^0, G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$, *243

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ *244

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ *245

By

$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$ *246

$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$ *247

$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$ *248

$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$ *249

$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$ *250

$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$*251

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions $G_i, T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy *252

$G_i(0) = G_i^0, T_i(0) = T_i^0, G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$, *253

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ *254

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ *255

By

$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{32}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$ *256

$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{33}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$ *257

$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{34}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$ *258

$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)} (G(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{32}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$ *259

$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)} (G(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{33}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$ *260

$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)} (G(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{34}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$*261

*262

(a) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$G_{13}(t) \leq G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \right) \right] ds_{(13)} =$

$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1 \right)$ *263

From which it follows that

$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \right]$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1*264

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$*265

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself .Indeed it is obvious that*266

$G_{16}(t) \leq G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}} \right) \right] ds_{(16)} =$

$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1 \right)$ *267

From which it follows that

$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \right]$ *268

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$*269

(a) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$G_{20}(t) \leq G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} \right) \right] ds_{(20)} =$

$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1 \right)$ *270

From which it follows that

$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \right]$ *271

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$*272

(b) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$G_{24}(t) \leq G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} \left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} \right) \right] ds_{(24)} =$

$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1 \right)$ *273

From which it follows that

$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left[((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \right]$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1*274

(c) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$G_{28}(t) \leq G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} \left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} \right) \right] ds_{(28)} =$

$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1 \right)$ *275

From which it follows that

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}\right)e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1*276

(d) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]\, ds_{(32)} = \left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$ *277

From which it follows that

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}\right)e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$*278

*279

*280

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have*281

282

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_j^{0}\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_j^{0}}{G_j^{0}}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$$ *283

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^{0}\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^{0}}{T_j^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$$ *284

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying GLOBAL EQUATIONS into itself*285

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)}\right),\left(G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right) = \sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\}$$ *286

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G},\tilde{T}$:

$$(\tilde{G},\tilde{T}) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G,T)$$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{14}^{(1)}-G_{14}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\, ds_{(13)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$
$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$
$$G_{13}^{(2)}|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)-(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)},s_{(13)}\right)|\; e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows*287

$$\left|G^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \leq \frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)}+(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}+(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)};\; G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows*288

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ *and* $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.*289

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0\ and\ T_i(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(1)} t)} > 0$ for $t > 0$*290

291

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, and \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$:

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a_{14}')^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a_{14}')^{(1)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a_{15}')^{(1)}$

If $G_{14}\ or\ G_{15}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.*292

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below.*293

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t), t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t), t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$ *294

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t), t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions *295

*296

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\ and\ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have*297

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad *298$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad *299$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying *300

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}\right), \left((G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}\} \quad *301$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$*302

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)} \left|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\, ds_{(16)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a_{16}')^{(2)} \left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}} +$$
$$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}} +$$
$$G_{16}^{(2)} \left|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\} ds_{(16)} \quad *303$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows*304

$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)}-(G_{19})^{(2)}\right|\mathrm{e}^{-(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}} \leq$

$\frac{1}{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)}+\ (a'_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{\mathrm{A}}_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{\mathrm{P}}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathrm{d}\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)};\ (G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right)$ *305

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows*306

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(b''_{16})^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{\mathrm{P}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{e}^{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}$ and $(\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{e}^{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a''_i)^{(2)}$ and $(b''_i)^{(2)}, i=16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.*307

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $\mathrm{G}_i\ (\mathrm{t})=0$ and $\mathrm{T}_i\ (\mathrm{t})=0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$\mathrm{G}_i\ (\mathrm{t}) \geq \mathrm{G}_i^0\mathrm{e}^{\left[-\int_0^{\mathrm{t}}\{(a'_i)^{(2)}-(a''_i)^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}(s_{(16)}),s_{(16)})\}\mathrm{d}s_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

$\mathrm{T}_i\ (\mathrm{t}) \geq \mathrm{T}_i^0\mathrm{e}^{\left(-(b'_i)^{(2)}\mathrm{t}\right)} > 0$ for $\mathrm{t}>0$*308

Definition of $\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$:

Remark 3: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$\mathrm{G}_{16}<(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{\mathrm{dG}_{17}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq \left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1-(a'_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{17}$ and by integrating

$\mathrm{G}_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2=\mathrm{G}_{17}^0+2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a'_{17})^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$\mathrm{G}_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3=\mathrm{G}_{18}^0+2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a'_{18})^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.*309

Remark 4: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below.*311

Remark 5: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{\mathrm{t}\to\infty}((b''_i)^{(2)}\ ((G_{19})(\mathrm{t}),\mathrm{t}))=(b'_{17})^{(2)}$ then $\mathrm{T}_{17} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

310

Remark 4: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below.*311

Remark 5: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{\mathrm{t}\to\infty}((b''_i)^{(2)}\ ((G_{19})(\mathrm{t}),\mathrm{t}))=(b'_{17})^{(2)}$ then $\mathrm{T}_{17} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $\mathrm{t}>\mathrm{t}_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)}-(b''_i)^{(2)}((G_{19})(\mathrm{t}),\mathrm{t})<\varepsilon_2, \mathrm{T}_{16}\ (\mathrm{t})>(m)^{(2)}$ *312

Then $\frac{\mathrm{dT}_{17}}{\mathrm{dt}} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}-\varepsilon_2\mathrm{T}_{17}$ which leads to

$\mathrm{T}_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1-\mathrm{e}^{-\varepsilon_2\mathrm{t}})+\mathrm{T}_{17}^0\mathrm{e}^{-\varepsilon_2\mathrm{t}}$ If we take t such that $\mathrm{e}^{-\varepsilon_2\mathrm{t}}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results *313

$\mathrm{T}_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right),\quad t=\log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(\mathrm{t}),\mathrm{t}\right)=(b'_{18})^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions *314

*315

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}<1$ and to choose

$(\widehat{\mathrm{P}}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have*316

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad *317$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad *318$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i into itself*319

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$d\left(\left((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)}\right),\left((G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)}\right)\right)=$

$sup_i\{max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$ *320

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}}$ $:\left(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})} \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}\left((G_{23}), (T_{23})\right)$*321

It results

$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \le \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{21}^{(1)} - G_{21}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$
$\int_0^t \{(a'_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{20}^{(1)} - G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$
$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)} - G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$
$G_{20}^{(2)}|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right) - (a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)}\right)|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\} ds_{(20)}$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, \mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows*322

323

$\left|G^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \le$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)} + (\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows*324

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a''_i)^{(3)}$ and $(b''_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.*325

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$G_i(t) \ge G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a'_i)^{(3)} - (a''_i)^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \ge 0$

$T_i(t) \ge T_i^0 e^{(-(b'_i)^{(3)}t)} > 0$ for $\mathrm{t} > 0$*326

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$:

Remark 3: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \le \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}$ and by integrating

$G_{21} \le \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1/(a'_{21})^{(3)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{22} \le \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2/(a'_{22})^{(3)}$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.*327

Remark 4: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the
preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below.*328

Remark 5: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b''_i)^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) = (b'_{21})^{(3)}$ then $T_{21} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_i)^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t) > (m)^{(3)}$ *329

330

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} \ge (a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)} - \varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to

$T_{21} \ge \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}) + T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21} \ge \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{22})^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) = (b'_{22})^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions *331

*332

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$ and to choose

$(\widehat{\mathrm{P}}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have*333

$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ *334

$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ *335

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying IN to itself*336
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$

$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}|\leq\int_0^t(a_{24})^{(4)}|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}ds_{(24)}+$

$\int_0^t\{(a'_{24})^{(4)}|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$

$(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)})|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$

$G_{24}^{(2)}|(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)})-(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{(2)},s_{(24)})|\ e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\}ds_{(24)}$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$
From the hypotheses it follows*337

338

$|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right)d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows*339

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ *and* $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a''_i)^{(4)}$ and $(b''_i)^{(4)}, i=24,25,26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on (G_{27})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.*340

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$G_i(t)\geq G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a'_i)^{(4)}-(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}),s_{(24)})\}ds_{(24)}\right]}\geq0$

$T_i(t)\geq T_i^0e^{(-(b'_i)^{(4)}t)}>0$ for $t>0$*341

Definition of $((\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)})_1,((\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)})_2$ *and* $((\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)})_3$:

Remark 3: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} *and* G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24}<(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt}\leq((\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)})_1-(a'_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}$ and by integrating

$G_{25}\leq((\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)})_2=G_{25}^0+2(a_{25})^{(4)}((\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)})_1/(a'_{25})^{(4)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{26}\leq((\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)})_3=G_{26}^0+2(a_{26})^{(4)}((\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)})_2/(a'_{26})^{(4)}$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.*342

Remark 4: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below.*343

Remark 5: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b''_i)^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t))=(b'_{25})^{(4)}$ then $T_{25}\to\infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t>t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)}-(b''_i)^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)<\varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t)>(m)^{(4)}$ *344

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt}\geq(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}-\varepsilon_4T_{25}$ which leads to

$T_{25}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_4t})+T_{25}^0e^{-\varepsilon_4t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b'_{26})^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions ANALOGOUS inequalities hold also for $G_{29}, G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$*345

*346

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

*347

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \text{ *348}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \text{ *349}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i into itself*350

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}\right),\left((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),(T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\,ds_{(28)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}} +$$
$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}} +$$
$$G_{28}^{(2)}|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)},s_{(28)}\right)|\ e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\}ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows*351

352

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right)d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis (35,35,36) the result follows*353

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a''_i)^{(5)}$ and $(b''_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.*354

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$

From GLOBAL EQUATIONS it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a'_i)^{(5)} - (a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}),s_{(28)})\}ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b'_i)^{(5)}t\right)} > 0$ for t > 0*355

Definition of $\left((\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$:

Remark 3: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also G_{29} and G_{30} . indeed if

$G_{28} < (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)}G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1/(a'_{29})^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{30} \leq \left((\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$$

If G_{29} or G_{30} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.*356

Remark 4: If G_{28} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{29} and G_{30} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below.*357

Remark 5: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b_{29}')^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$*358

359

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{30}'')^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b_{30}')^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$*360

*361

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose

$(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have*362

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad *363$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad *364$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i into itself*365

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(\left((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}\right),\left((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{35})}, \widetilde{(T_{35})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{35})}, \widetilde{(T_{35})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),(T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\,ds_{(32)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a_{32}')^{(6)}\left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}} +$$
$$(a_{32}'')^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)\left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}} +$$
$$G_{32}^{(2)}|(a_{32}'')^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right) - (a_{32}'')^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)},s_{(32)}\right)|\ e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\}ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses it follows*366

367

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a_{32}')^{(6)} + (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right)d\left(\left((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)};\ (G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows*368

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a_{32}'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_{32}'')^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.*369

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$

From 69 to 32 it results

$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)}-(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}),s_{(32)})\}ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(6)}t)} > 0$ for t > 0*370

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$:

Remark 3: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also G_{33} *and* G_{34} . indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a_{33}')^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1/(a_{33}')^{(6)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a_{34}')^{(6)}$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.*371

Remark 4: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below.*372

Remark 5: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}\,((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b_{33}')^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}\,(t) > (m)^{(6)}$*373

374

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded. The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{34}'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b_{34}')^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions *375*376**Behavior of the solutions**

If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

(a) $\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a_{13}')^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)} - (a_{13}'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a_{14}'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b_{13}')^{(1)} + (b_{14}')^{(1)} - (b_{13}'')^{(1)}(G,t) - (b_{14}'')^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$ *377

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$:

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0, (u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{14})^{(1)}(\nu^{(1)})^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}(u^{(1)})^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ *378

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{14})^{(1)}(\nu^{(1)})^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}(u^{(1)})^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ *379

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:-

(b) If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, \; if\; (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\; (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, \; if\; (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ *380

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, \; if\; (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\; (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\; (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ are defined respectively*381

382

Then the solution satisfies the inequalities

$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \leq G_{13}(t) \leq G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)}-(p_{13})^{(1)})t} \leq G_{14}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}$ *383

$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)}-(p_{13})^{(1)}-(S_2)^{(1)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)}-(p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t} \right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t} \leq G_{15}(t) \leq \right.$

$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)}-(a_{15}')^{(1)})} [e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t} - e^{-(a_{15}')^{(1)}t}] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a_{15}')^{(1)}t} \right)$ *384

$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} \leq T_{13}(t) \leq T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t}}$ *385

$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} \leq T_{13}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t}$ *386

$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)}-(b_{15}')^{(1)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} - e^{-(b_{15}')^{(1)}t} \right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b_{15}')^{(1)}t} \leq T_{15}(t) \leq$

$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)}+(R_2)^{(1)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t} \right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}$

*387

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a_{13}')^{(1)}$

$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$

$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b_{13}')^{(1)}$

$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$ *388

Behavior of the solutions

If we denote and define*389

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$:

$\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying*390

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \leq -(a_{16}')^{(2)} + (a_{17}')^{(2)} - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) + (a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ *391

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \leq -(b_{16}')^{(2)} + (b_{17}')^{(2)} - (b_{16}'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t) - (b_{17}'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ *392

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$:*393

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0, (u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots*394

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}(\nu^{(2)})^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ *395

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}(u^{(2)})^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and*396

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$:*397

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the*398

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}(\nu^{(2)})^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$*399

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}(u^{(2)})^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ *400

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:-*401

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by*402

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, \ \boldsymbol{if} \ (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ *403

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, \boldsymbol{if} \ (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$*404

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, \ \boldsymbol{if} \ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ *405

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, \ \boldsymbol{if} \ (u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, \boldsymbol{if} \ (u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$*406

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, \ \boldsymbol{if} \ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ *407

Then the solution satisfies the inequalities

$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)}-(p_{16})^{(2)})t} \leq G_{16}(t) \leq G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$*408

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined*409

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)}-(p_{16})^{(2)})t} \leq G_{17}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$ *410

$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)}-(p_{16})^{(2)}-(S_2)^{(2)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)}-(p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t} \right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t} \leq G_{18}(t) \leq \right.$

$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)}-(a_{18}')^{(2)})} [e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t} - e^{-(a_{18}')^{(2)}t}] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a_{18}')^{(2)}t} \right)$ *411

$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \leq T_{16}(t) \leq T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)}+(r_{16})^{(2)})t}}$ *412

$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} \mathrm{T}_{16}^0 \mathrm{e}^{(\mathrm{R}_1)^{(2)}t} \leq T_{16}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} \mathrm{T}_{16}^0 \mathrm{e}^{((\mathrm{R}_1)^{(2)}+(r_{16})^{(2)})t}$ *413

$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{T}_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((\mathrm{R}_1)^{(2)}-(b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[\mathrm{e}^{(\mathrm{R}_1)^{(2)}t} - \mathrm{e}^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right] + \mathrm{T}_{18}^0\mathrm{e}^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)}t} \leq T_{18}(t) \leq$

$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{T}_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((\mathrm{R}_1)^{(2)}+(r_{16})^{(2)}+(\mathrm{R}_2)^{(2)})}\left[\mathrm{e}^{((\mathrm{R}_1)^{(2)}+(r_{16})^{(2)})t} - \mathrm{e}^{-(\mathrm{R}_2)^{(2)}t}\right] + \mathrm{T}_{18}^0\mathrm{e}^{-(\mathrm{R}_2)^{(2)}t}$ *414

Definition of $(\mathrm{S}_1)^{(2)}, (\mathrm{S}_2)^{(2)}, (\mathrm{R}_1)^{(2)}, (\mathrm{R}_2)^{(2)}$:-*415

Where $(\mathrm{S}_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$

$(\mathrm{S}_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$ *416

$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}$

$(\mathrm{R}_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$*417

*418

Behavior of the solutions

If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

(a) $\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \leq -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \leq -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}((G_{23}), t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$ *419

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(3)}, (\nu_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$:

(b) By $(\nu_1)^{(3)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0, (u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ *420

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:-

(c) If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ *421

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution satisfies the inequalities

$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)}-(p_{20})^{(3)})t} \leq G_{20}(t) \leq G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined *422

423

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)}-(p_{20})^{(3)})t} \leq G_{21}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$ *424

$\Big(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)}-(p_{20})^{(3)}-(S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)}-(p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \leq G_{22}(t) \leq$

$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)}-(a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\Big)$ *425

$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \leq T_{20}(t) \leq T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t}}$ *426

$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \leq T_{20}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t}$ *427

$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \leq T_{22}(t) \leq$

$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$ *428

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$*429

*430

*431

Behavior of the solutions 432

If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

(d) $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}), t) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}((G_{27}), t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 433

(e) By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0, (u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 434

435

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ 436

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

(f) If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, \ \boldsymbol{if} \ (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if} \ (\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, \ \boldsymbol{if} \ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

and analogously 437

438

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, \ \boldsymbol{if} \ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if} \ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if} \ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$ are defined by 59 and 64 respectively

Then the solution satisfies the inequalities 439

440

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t}$$ 441

442

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined 443

444

445

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)}-(p_{24})^{(4)})t} \leq G_{25}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t}$ 446

447

$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)}-(p_{24})^{(4)}-(S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)}-(p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t} \leq G_{26}(t) \leq\right.$ 448

(a26)4G240(m2)4(S1)4−(a26′)4e(S1)4t−e−(a26′)4t+ G260e−(a26′)4t

$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \leq T_{24}(t) \leq T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$ 449

$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \leq T_{24}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)})t}$ 450

$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)}-(b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)}t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)}t} \leq T_{26}(t) \leq$ 451

$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)}+(R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t}$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 452

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$ 453

Behavior of the solutions 454

If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

(g) $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \leq -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \leq -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 455

(h) By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0, (u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}(\nu^{(5)})^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}(u^{(5)})^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 456

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}(\nu^{(5)})^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}(u^{(5)})^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}, (m_2)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

(i) If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}, (m_2)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, \ \boldsymbol{if} \ (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if} \ (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, \ \boldsymbol{if} \ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 457

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, \ \boldsymbol{if} \ (u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} \ , \boldsymbol{if} \ (u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T_{28}^0}{T_{29}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if} \ (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$
are defined respectively

Then the solution satisfies the inequalities 458

$G_{28}^0 e^{\left((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)t} \leq G_{28}(t) \leq G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined

$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{\left((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)t} \leq G_{29}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}$ 459

460

$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{28}^0}{(m_1)^{(5)}\left((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)}-(S_2)^{(5)}\right)} \left[e^{\left((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t} \leq G_{30}(t) \leq\right.$ 461

(a30)5G280(m2)5(S1)5−(a30′)5e(S1)5t−e−(a30′)5t+ G300e−(a30′)5t

$\boxed{T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} \leq T_{28}(t) \leq T_{28}^0 e^{\left((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)t}}$ 462

$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} \leq T_{28}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{\left((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)t}$ 463

$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{28}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}\left((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)}\right)} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} - e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t} \leq T_{30}(t) \leq$ 464

$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} T_{28}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}\left((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)}\right)} \left[e^{\left((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)}, (S_2)^{(5)}, (R_1)^{(5)}, (R_2)^{(5)}$:- 465

Where $(S_1)^{(5)} = (a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)} - (a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)} = (a_{30})^{(5)} - (p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)} = (b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)} = (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions 466

If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

(j) $(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)} \leq -(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(6)} \leq -(b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big) - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(6)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_2)^{(6)}, (u_1)^{(6)}, (u_2)^{(6)}, \nu^{(6)}, u^{(6)}$: 467

(k) By $(\nu_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(\nu^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 468

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(\nu^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)}$, $(m_2)^{(6)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

(l) If we define $(m_1)^{(6)}$, $(m_2)^{(6)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

470

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}\ , \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)},$
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 471

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}\ , \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},$
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ are defined respectively

Then the solution satisfies the inequalities 472

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{33}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t}$$ 473

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t} \leq G_{34}(t) \leq\right.$$ 474

(a34)6G320(m2)6(S1)6−(a34′)6e(S1)6t−e−(a34′)6t+ G340e−(a34′)6t

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}}$$ 475

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}$$ 476

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t} \leq T_{34}(t) \leq$$ 477

$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)}+(R_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 478

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

479

Proof : From GLOBAL EQUATIONS we obtain 480

$\frac{d\nu^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\nu^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}\nu^{(1)}$ 481

Definition of $\nu^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:-

(a) For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

$\nu^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)} - (\nu_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)} - (\nu_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}$, $\boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)} - (\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)} - (\nu_2)^{(1)}}}$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(1)} \le \nu^{(1)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 482

$\nu^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}$, $\boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}}}$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(1)} \le \nu^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

(b) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 483

$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)} - (\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)} - (\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(1)}(t) \le$

$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

(c) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$, we obtain 484

$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \le \nu^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(1)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(1)} \le \nu^{(1)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(1)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \le u^{(1)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(1)}$, $\boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$ 485

Now, using this result and replacing it in GLOBAL E486QUATIONS we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} =$

$(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $\nu^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

486

we obtain 487

$$\frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}}{G_{17}}}$ 488

It follows 489

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 490

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

(d) For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 491

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 492

(e) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 493

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

(f) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \le (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 494

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 495

$(m_2)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(2)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 496

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \le u^{(2)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(2)}$, $\boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$

. 497

Particular case : 498

If $(a''_{16})^{(2)} = (a''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)} G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{16})^{(2)} = (b''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)} T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

499

From GLOBAL EQUATIONS we obtain 500

$$\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\nu^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 501

It follows

$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}-(a_{20})^{(3)}\right)\leq\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt}\leq-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}-(a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$ 502

From which one obtains

(a) For $0<(\nu_0)^{(3)}=\frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}<(\nu_1)^{(3)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

$\nu^{(3)}(t)\geq\frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}+(C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}$, $\boxed{(C)^{(3)}=\frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}}}$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(3)}\leq\nu^{(3)}(t)\leq(\nu_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 503

$\nu^{(3)}(t)\leq\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}$, $\boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)}=\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}}}$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(3)}\leq\nu^{(3)}(t)\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

504

(b) If $0<(\nu_1)^{(3)}<(\nu_0)^{(3)}=\frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$(\nu_1)^{(3)}\leq\frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}+(C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}\leq\ \nu^{(3)}(t)\leq$

$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

505

(c) If $0<(\nu_1)^{(3)}\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}\leq(\nu_0)^{(3)}=\frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}$, we obtain

$(\nu_1)^{(3)}\leq\ \nu^{(3)}(t)\leq\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}\leq(\nu_0)^{(3)}$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(3)}\leq\ \nu^{(3)}(t)\leq(m_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t)=\frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(3)}\leq\ u^{(3)}(t)\leq(\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{u^{(3)}(t)=\frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in GLOBAL EQUATIONS we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)}=(a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)}=(\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)}=(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)}=(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t)=(\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t)=(\nu_0)^{(3)}G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)}=(b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)}=(\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(3)}=(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)}=(u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t)=(u_0)^{(3)}T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

506

: From GLOBAL EQUATIONS we obtain 507

$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt}=(a_{24})^{(4)}-\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}-(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right)-(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\nu^{(4)}-(a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)}=\frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$ 508

It follows

$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}-(a_{24})^{(4)}\right)\leq\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt}\leq-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2+(\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}-(a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)},(\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

(d) For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 509

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

(e) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 510

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

511

(f) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 512

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have
Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain
Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in GLOBAL EQUATIONS we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :
If $(a''_{24})^{(4)} = (a''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** . 513

Analogously if $(b''_{24})^{(4)} = (b''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$**.**

514

From GLOBAL EQUATIONS we obtain 515

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} - (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right) - (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

(g) For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 516

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

(h) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 517

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

518

(i) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

519

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}$, $\boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in GLOBAL EQUATIONS we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} =$

$(\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

520

we obtain 521

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

<u>Definition of</u> $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

<u>Definition of</u> $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

(j) For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 522

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$ 523

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

(k) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 524

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

(l) If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$, we obtain 525

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

<u>Definition of</u> $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in GLOBAL EQUATIONS we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

526

527 527

We can prove the following 528

Theorem 3: If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0$,

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined, then the system 529

If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions 530.

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 531

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 532

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 533

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 534

$with\ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined are satisfied , then the system

If $(a''_i)^{(3)} and\ (b''_i)^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions 535

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined are satisfied , then the system

If $(a''_i)^{(4)} and\ (b''_i)^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions 536

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined are satisfied , then the system

If $(a''_i)^{(5)} and\ (b''_i)^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions 537

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined satisfied , then the system

If $(a''_i)^{(6)} and\ (b''_i)^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions 538

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$ 539

$with\ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right] G_{13} = 0$ 540

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 541
$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0$ 542
$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{13} = 0$ 543
$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{14} = 0$ 544
$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{15} = 0$ 545
has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system 546
$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0$ 547
$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0$ 548
$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0$ 549
$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{16} = 0$ 550
$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{17} = 0$ 551
$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{18} = 0$ 552
has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for 553
$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0$ 554
$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0$ 555
$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0$ 556
$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{20} = 0$ 557
$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{21} = 0$ 558
$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{22} = 0$ 559
has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution 560
$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0$ 561

$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0$ 563

$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0$ 564

$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)\ ]T_{24} = 0$ 565

$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)\ ]T_{25} = 0$ 566

$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)\ ]T_{26} = 0$ 567

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system 568

$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0$ 569

$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0$ 570

$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0$ 571

$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\ ]T_{28} = 0$ 572

$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\ ]T_{29} = 0$ 573

$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\ ]T_{30} = 0$ 574

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system 575

$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0$ 576

$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0$ 577

$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0$ 578

$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0$ 579

$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0$ 580

$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0$ 584

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system 582

583

584

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) =$

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) +$
$(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if 585

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) +$
$(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$ 586

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if 587

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) +$
$(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if 588

$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) +$
$(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if 589

$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) +$
$(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if 560

$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) +$
$(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 561

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$, $G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 562

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$, $G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$ 563

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 564

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$, $G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$

565

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 566

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$, $G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 567

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$, $G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 568

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$, $G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$

(e) By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 569

$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$
$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right]+(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{14} such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

(f) By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 570

$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$
$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right]+(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a 571 decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{14} such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$

(g) By the same argument, the concatenated equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 572

$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$
$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right]+(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{21} such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$ 573

(h) By the same argument, the equations of modules admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 574

$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$
$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

(i) By the same argument, the equations (modules) admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 575

$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$
$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

(j) By the same argument, the equations (modules) admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 578

579

$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$ 580
$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$ 581

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 94 582

G^*_{14} given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T^*_{14} given by $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$ and

$G^*_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$, $G^*_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G^*_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$

$T^*_{13} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)}T^*_{14}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$, $T^*_{15} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T^*_{14}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 583

G^*_{17} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$, T^*_{17} given by $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$ and 584

$G^*_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G^*_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$, $G^*_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G^*_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$ 585

$T_{16}^* = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17}^*}{[(b_{16}')^{(2)}-(b_{16}'')^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]}$, $T_{18}^* = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17}^*}{[(b_{18}')^{(2)}-(b_{18}'')^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]}$ 586

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution 587

Finally we obtain the unique solution 588

G_{21}^* given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$, T_{21}^* given by $f(T_{21}^*) = 0$ and

$G_{20}^* = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}^*}{[(a_{20}')^{(3)}+(a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]}$, $G_{22}^* = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}^*}{[(a_{22}')^{(3)}+(a_{22}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]}$

$T_{20}^* = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21}^*}{[(b_{20}')^{(3)}-(b_{20}'')^{(3)}(G_{23}^*)]}$, $T_{22}^* = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21}^*}{[(b_{22}')^{(3)}-(b_{22}'')^{(3)}(G_{23}^*)]}$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 589

G_{25}^* given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^* given by $f(T_{25}^*) = 0$ and

$G_{24}^* = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}^*}{[(a_{24}')^{(4)}+(a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$, $G_{26}^* = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}^*}{[(a_{26}')^{(4)}+(a_{26}'')^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$

$T_{24}^* = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25}^*}{[(b_{24}')^{(4)}-(b_{24}'')^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]}$, $T_{26}^* = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25}^*}{[(b_{26}')^{(4)}-(b_{26}'')^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]}$ 590

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 591

G_{29}^* given by $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$, T_{29}^* given by $f(T_{29}^*) = 0$ and

$G_{28}^* = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}^*}{[(a_{28}')^{(5)}+(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$, $G_{30}^* = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}^*}{[(a_{30}')^{(5)}+(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$

$T_{28}^* = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^*}{[(b_{28}')^{(5)}-(b_{28}'')^{(5)}((G_{31})^*)]}$, $T_{30}^* = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^*}{[(b_{30}')^{(5)}-(b_{30}'')^{(5)}((G_{31})^*)]}$ 592

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 593

G_{33}^* given by $\varphi((G_{35})^*) = 0$, T_{33}^* given by $f(T_{33}^*) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^* = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^*}{[(a_{32}')^{(6)}+(a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]}$, $G_{34}^* = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^*}{[(a_{34}')^{(6)}+(a_{34}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]}$

$T_{32}^* = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^*}{[(b_{32}')^{(6)}-(b_{32}'')^{(6)}((G_{35})^*)]}$, $T_{34}^* = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^*}{[(b_{34}')^{(6)}-(b_{34}'')^{(6)}((G_{35})^*)]}$ 594

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 595

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i$, $T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$ 596

$\frac{\partial(a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)}$, $\frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$

Then taking into account equations (global) and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain 597

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\mathbb{T}_{14}$ 598

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\mathbb{T}_{14}$ 599

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^*\mathbb{T}_{14}$ 600

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 601

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 602

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 603

If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable 604

Denote 605

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i$, $T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$ 606

$\frac{\partial(a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)}$, $\frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij}$ 607

taking into account equations (global)and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain 608

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17}$ 609

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17}$ 610

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17}$ 611

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt}=-\left((b_{16}')^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16}+(b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17}+\sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}\mathrm{T}_{16}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 612

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt}=-\left((b_{17}')^{(2)}-(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16}+\sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}\mathrm{T}_{17}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 613

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt}=-\left((b_{18}')^{(2)}-(r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18}+(b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17}+\sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}\mathrm{T}_{18}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 614

If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stabl 615

_Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$G_i=G_i^*+\mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i=T_i^*+\mathbb{T}_i$

$\frac{\partial (a_{21}'')^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T_{21}^*)=(q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{23})^*\right)=s_{ij}$

616

Then taking into account equations (global) and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain 617

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt}=-\left((a_{20}')^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21}-(q_{20})^{(3)}G_{20}^*\mathbb{T}_{21}$ 618

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt}=-\left((a_{21}')^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20}-(q_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}^*\mathbb{T}_{21}$ 619

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt}=-\left((a_{22}')^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22}+(a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21}-(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}^*\mathbb{T}_{21}$ 6120

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt}=-\left((b_{20}')^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20}+(b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21}+\sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T_{20}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 621

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt}=-\left((b_{21}')^{(3)}-(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20}+\sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T_{21}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 622

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt}=-\left((b_{22}')^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22}+(b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21}+\sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T_{22}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 623

If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stabl 624

_Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 625

$G_i=G_i^*+\mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i=T_i^*+\mathbb{T}_i$

$\frac{\partial (a_{25}'')^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T_{25}^*)=(q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{27})^*\right)=s_{ij}$

Then taking into account equations (global) and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain 626

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt}=-\left((a_{24}')^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25}-(q_{24})^{(4)}G_{24}^*\mathbb{T}_{25}$ 627

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt}=-\left((a_{25}')^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24}-(q_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}^*\mathbb{T}_{25}$ 628

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt}=-\left((a_{26}')^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26}+(a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25}-(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}^*\mathbb{T}_{25}$ 629

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt}=-\left((b_{24}')^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24}+(b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25}+\sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T_{24}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 630

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt}=-\left((b_{25}')^{(4)}-(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24}+\sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T_{25}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 631

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt}=-\left((b_{26}')^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26}+(b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25}+\sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T_{26}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 632

633

If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 634

$G_i=G_i^*+\mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i=T_i^*+\mathbb{T}_i$

$\frac{\partial (a_{29}'')^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T_{29}^*)=(q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right)=s_{ij}$

Then taking into account equations (global) and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain 635

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt}=-\left((a_{28}')^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29}-(q_{28})^{(5)}G_{28}^*\mathbb{T}_{29}$ 636

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt}=-\left((a_{29}')^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28}-(q_{29})^{(5)}G_{29}^*\mathbb{T}_{29}$ 637

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt}=-\left((a_{30}')^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30}+(a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29}-(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}^*\mathbb{T}_{29}$ 638

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt}=-\left((b_{28}')^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28}+(b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29}+\sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T_{28}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 639

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt}=-\left((b_{29}')^{(5)}-(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28}+\sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T_{29}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 640

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt}=-\left((b_{30}')^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30}+(b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29}+\sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T_{30}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 641

If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable 642

Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 643

$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$

$\frac{\partial (a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}} (T_{33}^*) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \quad \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j} ((G_{35})^*) = s_{ij}$

Then taking into account equations(global) and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain 644

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)} G_{32}^* \mathbb{T}_{33}$ 645

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}^* \mathbb{T}_{33}$ 646

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)} G_{34}^* \mathbb{T}_{33}$ 647

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)} T_{32}^* \mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 648

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)} T_{33}^* \mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 649

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)} T_{34}^* \mathbb{G}_j\right)$ 650

651

The characteristic equation of this system is 652

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}^* + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)} G_{13}^*\right)\right]$$ 653

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)} T_{14}^* + (b_{14})^{(1)} s_{(13),(14)} T_{14}^*\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)} G_{13}^* + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}^*\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)} T_{14}^* + (b_{14})^{(1)} s_{(13),(13)} T_{13}^*\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right) (\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b_{13}')^{(1)} + (b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right) (\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right) (\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)} G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}^* + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)} G_{13}^*\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)} T_{14}^* + (b_{14})^{(1)} s_{(13),(15)} T_{13}^*\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b_{18}')^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)} \mathrm{G}_{17}^* + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)} \mathrm{G}_{16}^*\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)} \mathrm{T}_{17}^* + (b_{17})^{(2)} s_{(16),(17)} \mathrm{T}_{17}^*\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)} \mathrm{G}_{16}^* + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)} \mathrm{G}_{17}^*\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)} \mathrm{T}_{17}^* + (b_{17})^{(2)} s_{(16),(16)} \mathrm{T}_{16}^*\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right) (\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((b_{16}')^{(2)} + (b_{17}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)} + (r_{17})^{(2)}\right) (\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right) (\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)} \mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)} \mathrm{G}_{17}^* + (a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)} \mathrm{G}_{16}^*\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)} \mathrm{T}_{17}^* + (b_{17})^{(2)} s_{(16),(18)} \mathrm{T}_{16}^*\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)} + (b_{22}')^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)} + (a_{22}')^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)} + (a_{20}')^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)} G_{21}^* + (a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)} G_{20}^*\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)} + (b_{20}')^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)} T_{21}^* + (b_{21})^{(3)} s_{(20),(21)} T_{21}^*\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)} + (a_{21}')^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)} G_{20}^* + (a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)} G_{21}^*\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)} + (b_{20}')^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)} T_{21}^* + (b_{21})^{(3)} s_{(20),(20)} T_{20}^*\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2 + \left((a_{20}')^{(3)} + (a_{21}')^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right) (\lambda)^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2 + \left((b_{20}')^{(3)} + (b_{21}')^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)} + (r_{21})^{(3)}\right) (\lambda)^{(3)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2 + \left((a_{20}')^{(3)} + (a_{21}')^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right) (\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)} G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)} + (a_{20}')^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)} G_{21}^* + (a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)} G_{20}^*\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\right)\}=0$$
$$+$$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$
$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\right]$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\right)$$
$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\right)$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$
$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$
$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\right)\}=0$$
$$+$$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$
$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\right]$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\right)$$
$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\right)$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$
$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$
$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\right)\}=0$$
$$+$$
$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$
$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\right]$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\right)$$
$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\right)$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)$$
$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$
$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$
$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\right)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

Acknowledgments:

The introduction is a collection of information from various articles, Books, News Paper reports, Home Pages Of authors, Journal Reviews, Nature 's L:etters,Article Abstracts, Research papers, Abstracts Of Research Papers, Stanford Encyclopedia, Web Pages, Ask a Physicist Column, Deliberations with Professors, the internet including Wikipedia. We acknowledge all authors who have contributed to the same. In the eventuality of the fact that there has been any act of omission on the part of the authors, we regret with great deal of compunction, contrition, regret, trepidiation and remorse. As Newton said, it is only because erudite and eminent people allowed one to piggy ride on their backs; probably an attempt has been made to look slightly further. Once again, it is stated that the references are only illustrative and not comprehensive

References

1. Dr K N Prasanna Kumar, Prof B S Kiranagi, Prof C S Bagewadi - MEASUREMENT DISTURBS EXPLANATION OF QUANTUM MECHANICAL STATES-A HIDDEN VARIABLE THEORY - published at: "International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, Volume 2, Issue 5, May 2012 Edition".
2. DR K N PRASANNA KUMAR, PROF B S KIRANAGI and PROF C S BAGEWADI -CLASSIC 2 FLAVOUR COLOR SUPERCONDUCTIVITY AND ORDINARY NUCLEAR MATTER-A NEW PARADIGM STATEMENT - published at: "International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, Volume 2, Issue 5, May 2012 Edition".
3. A HAIMOVICI: "On the growth of a two species ecological system divided on age groups". Tensor, Vol (1982),Commemoration volume dedicated to Professor Akitsugu Kawaguchi on his 80th birthday
4. FRTJOF CAPRA: "The web of life" Flamingo, Harper Collins See "Dissipative structures" pages 172-188
5. HEYLIGHEN F. (2001): "The Science of Self-organization and Adaptivity", in L. D. Kiel, (ed) . Knowledge Management, Organizational Intelligence and Learning, and Complexity, in: The Encyclopedia of Life Support Systems ((EOLSS), (Eolss Publishers, Oxford) [http://www.eolss.net
6. MATSUI, T, H. Masunaga, S. M. Kreidenweis, R. A. Pielke Sr., W.-K. Tao, M. Chin, and Y. J Kaufman (2006), "Satellite-based assessment of marine low cloud variability associated with aerosol, atmospheric stability, and the diurnal cycle", J. Geophys. Res., 111, D17204, doi:10.1029/2005JD006097
7. STEVENS, B, G. Feingold, W.R. Cotton and R.L. Walko, "Elements of the microphysical structure of numerically simulated nonprecipitating stratocumulus" J. Atmos. Sci., 53, 980-1006
8. FEINGOLD, G, Koren, I; Wang, HL; Xue, HW; Brewer, WA (2010), "Precipitation-generated oscillations in open cellular cloud fields" Nature, 466 (7308) 849-852, doi: 10.1038/nature09314, Published 12-Aug 2010
9. a b c Einstein, A. (1905), "Ist die Trägheit eines Körpers von seinem Energieinhalt abhängig?", Annalen der Physik 18: 639 Bibcode 1905AnP...323..639E,DOI:10.1002/andp.19053231314. See also the English translation.
10. a b Paul Allen Tipler, Ralph A. Llewellyn (2003-01), Modern Physics, W. H. Freeman and Company, pp. 87–88, ISBN 0-7167-4345-0
11. a b Rainville, S. et al. World Year of Physics: A direct test of E=mc2. Nature 438, 1096-1097 (22 ecember 2005) | doi: 10.1038/4381096a; Published online 21 December 2005.
12. In F. Fernflores. The Equivalence of Mass and Energy. Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy
13. Note that the relativistic mass, in contrast to the rest mass m0, is not a relativistic invariant, and that the velocity is not a Minkowski four-vector, in contrast to the quantity , where is the differential of the proper time. However, the energy-momentum four-vector is a genuine Minkowski four-vector, and the intrinsic origin of the square-root in the definition of the relativistic mass is the distinction between dτ and dt.
14. Relativity DeMystified, D. McMahon, Mc Graw Hill (USA), 2006, ISBN 0-07-145545-0
15. Dynamics and Relativity, J.R. Forshaw, A.G. Smith, Wiley, 2009, ISBN 978-0-470-01460-8
16. Hans, H. S.; Puri, S. P. (2003). Mechanics (2 ed.). Tata McGraw-Hill. p. 433. ISBN 0-07-047360-9., Chapter 12 page 433
17. E. F. Taylor and J. A. Wheeler, Spacetime Physics, W.H. Freeman and Co., NY. 1992.ISBN 0-7167-2327-1, see pp. 248-9 for discussion of mass remaining constant after detonation of nuclear bombs, until heat is allowed to escape.
18. Mould, Richard A. (2002). Basic relativity (2 ed.). Springer. p. 126. ISBN 0-387-95210-1., Chapter 5 page 126
19. Chow, Tail L. (2006). Introduction to electromagnetic theory: a modern perspective. Jones & Bartlett Learning. p. 392. ISBN 0-7637-3827-1., Chapter 10 page 392

20. [2] Cockcroft-Walton experiment
21. a b c Conversions used: 1956 International (Steam) Table (IT) values where one calorie ≡ 4.1868 J and one BTU ≡ 1055.05585262 J. Weapons designers' conversion value of one gram TNT ≡ 1000 calories used.
22. Assuming the dam is generating at its peak capacity of 6,809 MW.
23. Assuming a 90/10 alloy of Pt/Ir by weight, a Cp of 25.9 for Pt and 25.1 for Ir, a Pt-dominated average Cp of 25.8, 5.134 moles of metal, and 132 J.K-1 for the prototype. A variation of ±1.5 picograms is of course, much smaller than the actual uncertainty in the mass of the international prototype, which are ±2 micrograms.
24. [3] Article on Earth rotation energy. Divided by c^2.
25. a b Earth's gravitational self-energy is 4.6 × 10-10 that of Earth's total mass, or 2.7 trillion metric tons. Citation: The Apache Point Observatory Lunar Laser-Ranging Operation (APOLLO), T. W. Murphy, Jr. et al. University of Washington, Dept. of Physics (132 kB PDF, here.).
26. There is usually more than one possible way to define a field energy, because any field can be made to couple to gravity in many different ways. By general scaling arguments, the correct answer at everyday distances, which are long compared to the quantum gravity scale, should be minimal coupling, which means that no powers of the curvature tensor appear. Any non-minimal couplings, along with other higher order terms, are presumably only determined by a theory of quantum gravity, and within string theory, they only start to contribute to experiments at the string scale.
27. G. 't Hooft, "Computation of the quantum effects due to a four-dimensional pseudoparticle", Physical Review D14:3432–3450 (1976).
28. A. Belavin, A. M. Polyakov, A. Schwarz, Yu. Tyupkin, "Pseudoparticle Solutions to Yang Mills Equations", Physics Letters 59B:85 (1975).
29. F. Klinkhammer, N. Manton, "A Saddle Point Solution in the Weinberg Salam Theory", Physical Review D 30:2212.
30. Rubakov V. A. "Monopole Catalysis of Proton Decay", Reports on Progress in Physics 51:189–241 (1988).
31. S.W. Hawking "Black Holes Explosions?" Nature 248:30 (1974).
32. Einstein, A. (1905), "Zur Elektrodynamik bewegter Körper." (PDF), Annalen der Physik 17: 891–921, Bibcode 1905AnP...322...891E,DOI:10.1002/andp.19053221004. English translation.
33. See e.g. Lev B.Okun, The concept of Mass, Physics Today 42 (6), June 1969, p. 31–, http://www.physicstoday.org/vol-42/iss-6/vol42no6p31_36.pdf
34. Max Jammer (1999), Concepts of mass in contemporary physics and philosophy, Princeton University Press, p. 51, ISBN 0-691-01017-X
35. Eriksen, Erik; Vøyenli, Kjell (1976), "The classical and relativistic concepts of mass",Foundations of Physics (Springer) 6: 115–124, Bibcode 1976FoPh....6..115E,DOI:10.1007/BF00708670
36. a b Jannsen, M., Mecklenburg, M. (2007), From classical to relativistic mechanics: Electromagnetic models of the electron., in V. F. Hendricks, et al., , Interactions: Mathematics, Physics and Philosophy (Dordrecht: Springer): 65–134
37. a b Whittaker, E.T. (1951–1953), 2. Edition: A History of the theories of aether and electricity, vol. 1: The classical theories / vol. 2: The modern theories 1900–1926, London: Nelson
38. Miller, Arthur I. (1981), Albert Einstein's special theory of relativity. Emergence (1905) and early interpretation (1905–1911), Reading: Addison–Wesley, ISBN 0-201-04679-2
39. a b Darrigol, O. (2005), "The Genesis of the theory of relativity." (PDF), Séminaire Poincaré 1: 1–22
40. Philip Ball (Aug 23, 2011). "Did Einstein discover E = mc2?” Physics World.
41. Ives, Herbert E. (1952), "Derivation of the mass-energy relation", Journal of the Optical Society of America 42 (8): 540–543, DOI:10.1364/JOSA.42.000540
42. Jammer, Max (1961/1997). Concepts of Mass in Classical and Modern Physics. New York: Dover. ISBN 0-486-29998-8.
43. (43)^ Stachel, John; Torretti, Roberto (1982), "Einstein's first derivation of mass-energy equivalence", American Journal of Physics 50 (8): 760–763, Bibcode1982AmJPh..50..760S, DOI:10.1119/1.12764
44. Ohanian, Hans (2008), "Did Einstein prove E=mc2?", Studies In History and Philosophy of Science Part B 40 (2): 167–173, arXiv:0805.1400,DOI:10.1016/j.shpsb.2009.03.002
45. Hecht, Eugene (2011), "How Einstein confirmed E0=mc2", American Journal of Physics 79 (6): 591–600, Bibcode 2011AmJPh..79..591H, DOI:10.1119/1.3549223
46. Rohrlich, Fritz (1990), "An elementary derivation of E=mc2", American Journal of Physics 58 (4): 348–349, Bibcode 1990AmJPh..58..348R, DOI:10.1119/1.16168
47. (1996). Lise Meitner: A Life in Physics. California Studies in the History of Science. 13. Berkeley: University of California Press. pp. 236–237. ISBN 0-520-20860-
48. UIBK.ac.at

49. J. J. L. Morton; et al. (2008). "Solid-state quantum memory using the 31P nuclear spin". Nature 455 (7216): 1085–1088. Bibcode 2008Natur.455.1085M.DOI:10.1038/nature07295.
50. S. Weisner (1983). "Conjugate coding". Association of Computing Machinery, Special Interest Group in Algorithms and Computation Theory 15: 78–88.
51. A. Zelinger, Dance of the Photons: From Einstein to Quantum Teleportation, Farrar, Straus & Giroux, New York, 2010, pp. 189, 192, ISBN 0374239665
52. B. Schumacher (1995). "Quantum coding". Physical Review A 51 (4): 2738–2747. Bibcode 1995PhRvA..51.2738S. DOI:10.1103/PhysRevA.51.2738.

Authors :

First Author: [1]**Mr. K. N.Prasanna Kumar** has three doctorates one each in Mathematics, Economics, Political Science. Thesis was based on Mathematical Modeling. He was recently awarded D.litt. for his work on 'Mathematical Models in Political Science'--- Department of studies in Mathematics, Kuvempu University, Shimoga, Karnataka, India Corresponding **Author:drknpkumar@gmail.com**

Second Author: [2]**Prof. B.S Kiranagi** is the Former Chairman of the Department of Studies in Mathematics, Manasa Gangotri and present Professor Emeritus of UGC in the Department. Professor Kiranagi has guided over 25 students and he has received many encomiums and laurels for his contribution to Co homology Groups and Mathematical Sciences. Known for his prolific writing, and one of the senior most Professors of the country, he has over 150 publications to his credit. A prolific writer and a prodigious thinker, he has to his credit several books on Lie Groups, Co Homology Groups, and other mathematical application topics, and excellent publication history.-- UGC Emeritus Professor (Department of studies in Mathematics), Manasagangotri, University of Mysore, Karnataka, India

Third Author: [3]**Prof. C.S. Bagewadi** is the present Chairman of Department of Mathematics and Department of Studies in Computer Science and has guided over 25 students. He has published articles in both national and international journals. Professor Bagewadi specializes in Differential Geometry and its wide-ranging ramifications. He has to his credit more than 159 research papers. Several Books on Differential Geometry, Differential Equations are coauthored by him--- Chairman, Department of studies in Mathematics and Computer science, Jnanasahyadri Kuvempu University, Shankarghatta, Shimoga district, Karnataka, India
==